Archaeological Evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent EVALUATION REPORT

NGR Site Centre: 587569E 164540N

Planning Application Number: 21/501740/FULL



Report for: Esquire Developments Date: 16/03/2021 Accession number: <pending> Site code: KHB-EV-22

SWAT ARCHAEOLOGY Swale and Thames Archaeological Survey Company The Office, School Farm Oast, Graveney Road Faversham, Kent ME13 8UP Tel: 01795 532548 or 07885 700 112 info@swatarchaeology.co.uk www.swatarchaeology.co.uk ©SWAT Archaeology 2021

Conditions of Release All rights including translation reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission from SWAT Archaeology.

Archaeological Evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent. Evaluation Report

Contents

1		;
1.2	Project background	5
1.3	Planning background	5
2	SITE DESCRIPTION, TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY	,
3	ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	3
4	AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	L
4.1	General Aims11	L
4.2	Project Specific Objectives	L
5	METHODOLOGY12	2
6	RECORDING 12	2
7	RESULTS	}
7.1	Introduction	3
7.2	Stratigraphic Sequence 16	
7.3	Archaeological Trench Narrative14	ŀ
8	FINDS 23	;
9	ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT 29)
10	DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS)
11	ARCHIVE	2
12	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	2
13	REFERENCES	}
	IX 1 - CORE PERSONEL STRUCTURE	ŀ
	IX 2 – HER FORM	
	IX 3 - TRENCH TABLE	
	 IX 4 – POTTERY SPOT DATES by Paul Hart IX 5 – METAL AND GLASS OBJECTS by Ges Moody 	
	ind Plates	

Abstract

Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT Archaeology) was commissioned by Esquire Developments to undertake an archaeological evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent. The archaeological programme was monitored by the Principal Archaeological Officer at Kent County Council. The Archaeological Evaluation consisted of 39 trenches, which recorded a relatively common stratigraphic sequence comprising topsoil, subsoil and colluvium overlying natural geology.

The archaeological evaluation has recorded the presence of Early Medieval/ Medieval agricultural activity with potential small granary store within north-eastern extent of the proposed development area. WW1 remains comprising traversed fire trenches, supporting infrastructure and an anti-artillery shelter were exposed in south-western, south-eastern, central and north-eastern parts of the site.

The exposed WW1 remains are part of Chatham Land Front defences. A system of traversed fire trenches, fortifications, barbed wire entanglements and machine gun positions designed to prevent enemy forces crossing the valley. Fortifications extended from the Southern scarp slope of the Downs at Detling, along the western side of the Stockbury Valley and as far as the high ground around Iwade and Lower Halstow.

The Land Front would have extended westwards along the scarp slope and worked alongside the Thames and Medway Defences which stretched from Grain and Sheerness along the north coast and high ground of Sheppey as far as Shellness at the eastern end of the island. The trenches would not have looked out of place on the Western Front and indeed, though mostly in place in early 1915, we can see modifications taking account of developments arising from the battlefields of the Continent.

Regarding positive outcome of archaeological evaluation it has therefore been suggested that the proposed development will have an impact on buried archaeological resource and preservation in-situ is proposed where possible with small limited strip map and sample and subsequent watching brief during construction works. The detailed extend methodology and scope of further mitigation will need to be determined in consultation with KCC Heritage and the Local Planning Authority.

Acknowledgements

SWAT Archaeology would like to thank Esquire Developments for commissioning the project. Thanks are also extended to Simon Mason, Principal Archaeological Officer from Kent County Council for his advice and assistance.

Pavel Cichy managed the archaeological fieldwork and subsequent excavations were carried out by M Cichy, J Cantwell and Django Rayner. Site survey and illustrations were produced by Pavel Cichy and this report was written by Peter Cichy. On behalf of the client project was directed by Dr Paul Wilkinson, PhD, MCIFA.

Archaeological Evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent Evaluation Report

NGR Site Centre: 587569E 164540N

1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 SWAT archaeology was commissioned by the client to carry out an archaeological evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent.
- 1.2 This phase of archaeological works has confirmed the presence of archaeological remains on this proposed development area and guides the need for any additional detailed mitigation.

1.3 **Project background**

- 1.3.1 The developer is planning to develop the land at Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing in the County of Kent. The land has resolution to grant consent (21/501740/FULL) for the erection of a nurse accommodation building, car park and outdoor event place for Demelza. Erection of 30 private residential dwellings together with associated access, parking, highway works, drainage and landscaping (Figures).
- 1.3.2 Prior to evaluation archaeological WSI was prepared by SWAT.

1.4 Planning background

- 1.4.1 A planning application is resolved to be approved by Swale Borough Council (Application 21/501740/FULL) for the erection of a nurse accommodation building, car park and outdoor event place for Demelza. Erection of 30 private residential dwellings together with associated access, parking, highway works, drainage and landscaping proposal.
- 1.4.2 A Condition stipulating the necessity for archaeological works was attached to the outline planning permission which states:
- 1.4.3 KCC Archaeology have raised no objection, and have requested three conditions which are included at conditions 42, 43 and 44 and the conditions address the following;

(42) secure assessment, survey, evaluation and mitigation of the impacts of development on archaeology including remains of the Chatham Land Front; and

(43) if significant remains are found to ensure agreement on appropriate preservation measures; and

(44) to secure an appropriate scheme of interpretation of the site's archaeology and history, and in particular the Chatham Land Front.

Reason: To ensure appropriate assessment of the archaeological implications of any development proposals and the subsequent mitigation of adverse impacts through preservation in situ or by record.

- 1.4.4 On the basis of the present archaeological information. KCCHC advising Swale Borough Council recommended that the proposed development should be subject to a programme of archaeological works in order to clarify the archaeological elements within the site.
- 1.4.5 The methodology of the archaeological evaluation phase of investigation is identified within approved specification which is based on KCC site specific specifications and in the KCC Evaluation Manual Part B.

2 SITE DESCRIPTION, TOPOGRAPHY AND GEOLOGY

- 2.1 The site is located in Bobbing village defined from the south by Keycol Hill A2 and it comprises a stretch of a land adjacent to the east of Rook Lane.
- 2.2 The Geological Survey of Great Britain (1:50,000) shows that the site is set on bedrock geology of Lambeth Group- Clay, Silt, Sand & Gravel. Superficial Deposits are not recorded. The NGR to centre of site is NGR 587567 164536 and the OD height is about 52m in the centre of the site.

3 ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The Proposed Development Area (PDA) is located close to a number of archaeological sites which are identified on the KCCHER database. In addition Simon Mason Principal Archaeological Officer KCC has noted:
- 3.2 Archaeological potential Prehistoric to post medieval.

The area proposed for development has significant archaeological potential. It lies on the north side of the main London to Kent Coast Roman road that broadly follows the A2 corridor through Swale. Significant roadside activity is known alongside that road dating to the Roman and later periods. In particular there is a known focus of Roman settlement a short distance (c.400m) to the west of the proposed site around the present nursing home on Keycol Hill. Associated with that is an extensive Roman cemetery at Crockfield (named after the pottery from Roman cremation urns found in the soils there) and a recently discovered Roman industrial site, with temple, found to lie adjacent to a branch road to the Medway coast at Newington. Roman cremations have also been discovered during works on the former telephone exchange site to the west of the present site. A general prehistoric and Iron Age background to this area is also well established. A ring ditch, possibly the remains of a ploughed out Bronze Age burial mound has been seen as a cropmark on the high ridge immediately to the east of the present site. The present field has also been the subject of metal detecting in recent years with a number of medieval and post medieval finds having been recovered.

3.3 First World War defences – Chatham Land front

An important set of First World War defences known as the Chatham Land Front extend along the western side of the Stockbury Valley (the A249 corridor) from Detling to Bobbing and Iwade. This defence was designed to prevent an invading force moving westward towards Chatham and the important naval dockyard and garrisons there. It occupied the high ground to the west of the valley with commanding views to the east. The line varied in character along its length but included, in places, three lines of trenches, machine gun emplacements, redoubts and underground features. Particular strong points were established at strategic points alongside the A2 and railway lines and on other key hills along the defensive line. The extracted map from the Kent HER below illustrates the general layout in the area of the present development and the wider agricultural field in which it is to be sited.



This has been transcribed from mapping held in the National Archive and has some potential inaccuracy but was produced as a working map of the defences at the end of the first World War. Cropmarks and fieldwork elsewhere in Swale have established that it generally survives as a buried heritage asset having been backfilled by German prisoners of War in 1919. It also survives as earthworks in the local woodland and a number of machine gun emplacements survive locally. As well as the mapped evidence there are also collections of photographs in an album of the defences in the Royal Engineers Museum at Chatham. The collection includes features shown on this area of mapping (MAP 1). The map (MAP 1. Page 5) extract shows fire trenches as crenelated lines (maroon), barbed wire in black hatched strips, machine gun emplacements as dark blue diamonds on the trench lines and underground shelters in light blue. Flags and red dots refer to other records in the Kent HER. As can be seen on the map extract:

• Within the wider field the main first (front) fire line lies to the east of the high ridge that runs through the central part of the field, it turns back to run parallel with the A2 along the rear boundary of the properties along Keycol Hill. Cropmarks do show this survives in part as a buried feature at the rear of those properties. MAP 1. (Area of PDA- red line)

• A second fire line extends along the ridge that runs through the field immediately east of the present application site. This turns north westward and runs just to the east of Rook Lane and north of the present site.

• Extending from the first and second line trenches are communication lines to rear underground (or semi sunk) shelters / dug-outs designed to protect the garrison from enemy shelling. One of these falls on the edge of the proposed development site though has not been precisely located.

• Small areas of additional support or third line trenching are known to fall both within the western edge of the proposed development site and also the present development to the west of Rook Lane.

3.4 Aerial photographs from Google Earth on 20th July 2013 show with some clarity these WW1 trenches (AP 1, 2). 5.5 In addition the KCCHER lists the WW1 pill box situated about 100m SSW of the PDA (TQ 86 SE 312). 300m to the west is the former hospital building (TQ 86 SE 88) and just to the east of the PDA a cropmark of a ring ditch (TQ 86 SE 298) and 40m to the SE a cropmark of one of the WW1 trenches discussed.

4 AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

4.1 General Aims

The general aims of the archaeological fieldwork were therefore to;

 \cdot establish the presence or absence of any elements of the archaeological resource, both artefacts and ecofacts of archaeological interest across the area of the development;

 \cdot ascertain the extent, depth below ground surface, depth of deposit if possible, character, date and quality of any such archaeological remains by limited sample excavation;

· determine the state of preservation and importance of the archaeological resource, if present, and to assess the past impacts on the site and pay particular attention to the character, height/depth below ground level, condition, date and significance of any archaeological deposits.

4.2 **Project Specific Objectives**

- 4.2.1 The primary objective of the archaeological evaluation was to establish or otherwise the presence of any potential archaeological features which may be impacted by the proposed development. The aims of this investigation were to determine the potential for archaeological activity and in particular the earlier Medieval, Post-Medieval and Modern history of the PDA and also any other Prehistoric, Roman and later archaeological activity.
- 4.2.2 The programme of archaeological work is carried out in a phased approach and commenced with evaluation through trial trenching. This initial phase has determined that archaeological remains will be affected by the development and that further mitigation measures are required including detailed archaeological excavation, or an archaeological watching brief during construction works or an engineering solution to any preservation in situ requirements.

5 METHODOLOGY

- 5.1 The evaluation consisted of 39 machine excavated trenches (c.25m to 30m x 1.8m) in a layout agreed with the County Archaeologist. The area of investigation is the proposed development area. Each trench was machine excavated under constant archaeological supervision using machine equipped with toothless grading bucket down to the first recognizable archaeological horizon or natural geology.
- 5.2 A contingency trenching was activated in trenches 15, 16, 28 and 39 in order to fully understand the exposed features and determine their extent. The requirements were set out in KCC Spec Manual for Trial Trenching Part B and attached to the approved specification.
- 5.3 Additional trench (no 39) was excavated c. 10metres to the northeast from Trench 28 with aim to reveal exact location of WW1 anti-artillery shelter.
- 5.4 A limited soil sampling programme was implemented at this stage, two soil samples were acquired from excavated post-pit; a part of early medieval granary structure exposed in Trench 28.
- 5.5 Where appropriate, trenches, or specific areas of trenches, were subsequently handcleaned to reveal features in plan and carefully selected cross-sections through the features were excavated to enable sufficient information about form, development date and stratigraphic relationships to be recorded without prejudice to more extensive investigations, should these prove to be necessary. All archaeological work was carried out in accordance with KCC and CIfA standards and guidance. A complete photographic record was maintained on site that included working shots; during mechanical excavation, following archaeological investigations and during back filling.
- 5.6 On completion, the trenches were made safe and left open in order to provide the opportunity for a curatorial monitoring visit. Backfilling was carried out once all recording, survey and monitoring had been completed.

6 RECORDING

- 6.1 A complete drawn record of the evaluation trenches comprising both plans and sections, drawn to appropriate scales (1:20 for plans, 1:10 for sections) was undertaken. The plans and sections were annotated with coordinates and aOD heights. Additionally large sections that would not fit on single A3 page were drawn digitally in 1:10.
- 6.2 Photographs were taken as appropriate providing a record of excavated features and deposits, along with images of the overall trench to illustrate their location and context.

The record also includes images of the Site overall. The photographic record comprises digital photography. A photographic register of all photographs taken is contained within the project archive.

- 6.3 A single context recording system was used to record the deposits. A full list is presented in Appendix. Layers and fills are identified in this report thus (100), whilst the cut of the feature is shown as [100]. Context numbers were assigned to all deposits for recording purposes. Each number has been attributed to a specific trench with the primary number(s) relating to specific trenches (i.e. Trench 1, 101+, Trench 2, 201+, Trench 3, 301+ etc.).
- A site plan to indicate the location of the boundaries of the proposed development site and the position of evaluation trenches drawn at a scale of 1:100 is shown on Figures 2 and 3.
 Plans to indicate the locations of archaeological features are drawn to a scale of 1:50.
 Detailed plans were drawn at a scale of 1:20 and sections at a scale of 1:10. All detailed plans and sections are related to the site plans.
- 6.5 All plans and sections were drawn on polyester based drawing film, and each plan and/or section was clearly labelled. A GPS site grid was established where necessary across the areas subjected to evaluation. All field surveying were preceded by a site visit to clarify the site specific surveying methodology, determine lines of sight and locate appropriate survey points. All recording points were accurately surveyed with a GPS/GNSS RTK survey kit in 1cm/1ppm accuracy and located to the National Grid.

7 RESULTS

7.1 Introduction

7.1.1 Archaeological evaluation at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill has recorded a presence of World War defence system comprising fire trenches, temporary access road and antiartillery shelter. A north-eastern part of the site has exposed an early medieval remains comprising potential granary structure with field gullies and N-S aligned footpath although the Holloway is probably of late post medieval date. Sparse undated and medieval discrete features were exposed within central part of the site.

7.2 Exposed geology and stratigraphy

7.2.1 Stratigraphic sequence exposed across the site comprised top soil (context xx01) and subsoil (xx02) overlying natural geology (xx03) and (xx04) and/or levelling deposit, colluvium or ploughsoil.

7.3 Archaeological Trench Narrative

- 7.3.1 Trench 1 was placed in south-western part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 26metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.36metres in maximum depth. It exposed natural geology context (103) comprising firmly compacted, orange brown, silty-clay with moderate angular stones. Trench has exposed sub-oval Pit [104] within north-western extent of the trench. Feature had shallow and heavily bioturbated sides and uneven base. Its backfill context (105) was moderately compacted mid-brown mottled grey clayey-silt with frequent angular and sub-angular stones. It measured 0.9metres in length and 0.38metres in width and was interpreted as potential animal burrow. Within south-eastern extent Trench has exposed a potential Holloway comprising an NE-SW aligned linear cut with moderately sloping sides and flat base. It measured c. 11metres in width and 1.1metres in depth and its backfill consisted of context (107) comprising firmly compacted, mid brown, clay-sand-silt. Feature was interpreted as a cut for temporary access road leading to the field off A2 road.
- 7.3.2 Trench 2 was placed in south-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25metres in length, 1.8metres in width and 0.8metres in depth. Trench has exposed natural hollow backfilled and levelled-off by recent agricultural activity. A modern (very recent) aluminium can pull-opener was found in the lower portion of levelling deposit. An undisturbed parent material comprising orange-grey clay-silt with angular stones was exposed underneath levelling deposits.
- 7.3.3 Trench 3 was placed in south-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 24metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.4metres in maximum depth. It exposed natural geology context (302) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with moderate amount of small sub-rounded stones. Trench has exposed thick levelling deposits (303), (304) at south-western end, plausibly similar to deposits revealed in Trench 2. Two discrete features [305] and [307] were exposed at NE end of the trench. Pit [305] was sub-circular in plan with irregular sides and uneven base. It measured 0.74metres in width by 0.75metres in length and 0.1metres in depth. It was filled by context (306) comprising softly compacted dark-grey clay-silt with infrequent fragments of burnt clay and moderate charcoal. Less than a metre to the south-west a sub-oval Pit [307] was exposed. Feature had moderately sloping sides and concave base and measured 0.55metre in length by 0.3metre in width and 0.1metre in maximum depth. Its backfill consisted of single fill (308) comprising softly compacted, poorly sorted grey clay-silt with infrequent charcoal.

- 7.3.4 Trench 4 was placed in south-western part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.4metres in depth. Trench has exposed parent material context (402) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent angular stones.
- 7.3.5 Trench 5 was placed in south-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25.3metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.41metres in depth. Trench has exposed parent material context (503) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent angular stones. A geological feature or bioturbation was exposed and investigated within central part of this trench.
- 7.3.6 Trench 6 was placed in south-western part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 27metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.35metres in depth. Trench has exposed parent material context (603) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent angular stones. Trench has exposed a discrete feature [604] within NW extent which was interpreted as a potential treebale. A WW1 military defence trench was exposed at SE end of this trench. Feature [606] comprised E-W aligned linear cut with vertical sides and flat base. WW Trench had a shelf dug into its southern side. Revealed cut measured 2metres in length by 1.5metres in width and 1metre in maximum depth.
- 7.3.7 Trench 7 was placed in south-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.4metres in depth. Trench has exposed parent material context (704) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent angular stones. Trench has exposed natural valley subsequently levelled off with contexts (702) and (703) which produced modern dating evidence.
- 7.3.8 Trench 8 was placed in south-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25.8metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.76metres in depth. It exposed natural geology (803) comprising mid-brown silty-sand heavily disturbed by ploughing. WW1 fire trench in E-W alignment was exposed within north-eastern extent. Feature [805] had vertical sides and mainly flat base and measured 1.12metres in length by 0.7metres in width and 0.94metres in depth. Its backfill context (806) comprised softly compacted mid brown-grey mottled yellow clay-sand-silt with infrequent sub-rounded stones and charcoal flecks. A few stakeholes were noted at the base of WW1 trench at its sides. These were remnants of vertical construction supporting revetment which was preventing sides from collapsing and it was implementing small timbers with planks in its construction. Stake hole [807] was circular in plan with vertical sides and bottom tapered to a point. It measured 0.07metres in depth.

- 7.3.9 Trench 9 was placed in south-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 24.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.45metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (902) comprising orange gravelly silty-clay. A WW1 Trench was exposed along nearly entire course of evaluation trench. Feature [904] comprised N-S and W-E aligned linear cuts of traverse. It had a near vertical sides and a flat base with gully (sump) running along its eastern side. It measured 1.26metres in width and 1.36metres in depth and was filled-in by two deposits. Primary fill (905) was filling-up a sump cutting through the base of military trench and comprised softly compacted, light-grey clayey-silt. Context measured 0.38metres in width and 0.22metres in depth and was capped by extensive backfill (906) comprising soft poorly-sorted brown and dark-grey clay-sand-silt with frequent subangular stones and barbwire fragments.
- 7.3.10 Trench 10 was placed in south-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1003) comprising firmly compacted, orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent angular stones. No archaeological cuts or deposits were exposed here.
- 7.3.11 Trench 11 was placed in south-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25.3metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.35metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1102) comprising orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. A WW1 military trench was exposed in the central part of this trench. An E-W aligned linear cut [1103] had near vertical sides and flat base. A sump (gully) was dug into cut's base and a small possible bolt-hole was dug into southern side. Feature measured 1.8metres in width and 1.42metres in depth.
- 7.3.12 Trench 12 was placed in south-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 32metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.32metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1202) comprising orange-brown silty-clay. A cut (traverse) of WW1 fire trench was exposed in south-eastern part of this trench. Feature [1204] was not excavated at this stage.
- 7.3.13 Trench 13 was placed in south-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 24.8metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1304) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with moderate amount of sub-angular stones which was capped by colluvium (1303) within SW extent of evaluation trench. Pit [1305] was found cutting through colluvium deposit. Feature comprised sub-circular cut with moderate sides and uneven slightly undulating base. It measured 0.9metres in length by 0.88metres in width and 0.18metres in depth.

- 7.3.14 Trench 14 was placed in south-western part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.3metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.44metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1402) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with infrequent sub-angular stones. Pit [1404] was exposed within south-eastern extent of this trench. Feature comprised sub-circular cut with moderately sloping sides and flat base. It measured 0.96metres in length and 0.7metres in width and 0.12metres in depth. Its backfill context (1405) was softly compacted, dark-grey silty-clay with frequent charcoal, infrequent burnt clay specs and small angular stones. Context produced a potsherd of potential medieval date.
- 7.3.15 Trench 15 was placed in western part of the site in NNE-SSW alignment and measured 25.4 metres in length by 3.5 to 5.30 metres in width and 0.35 metres in depth. An extension was excavated to better understand and precisely survey the exposed remains. A course of traversed WW1 trench in NNE-SSW alignment was exposed here. Feature [1504] comprised NE-SW aligned linear cut with steep sides and concave base and measured 1.13 metres in width and 0.64metres in depth. It was filled-in by a sequence comprising two deposits. Lower, primary fill (1505) comprised dark-grey clay-silt and measured 0.51 metres in width by 0.15metres in depth. It was capped by extensive backfill (1507) comprising mixture of orange brown and dark-grey clayey-silts with frequent sub-angular stones. Intervention [1507] revealed NE-SW aligned linear cut with steep sides and concave base. It measured 1.16metres in width and 0.65metres in depth and was filled by two fills. Context (1508) was firmly compacted dark-grey clay-silt and measured 0.62metres in width and 0.44metres in depth and was capped by backfill (1509) comprising a mixture orange-brown and dark-grey clayey-silts with frequent sub-angular stones. It measured 1.16metres in width and 0.63metres in depth.
- 7.3.16 Trench 16 was placed in western part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 1.8 to 3.6metres in width due to excavated extensions at its north-eastern side; 22.3metres in length and 0.4metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1602) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with an outcrops of gravel. It exposed two modern service trenches within its north-western extent and a military WW1 trench within its south-eastern part. Feature [1604] comprised NE-SW aligned linear cut with steep sides and flat base and measured 0.92metres in width and 0.56metres in depth. Its backfill consisted of two deposits. Primary fill (1605) was dark-grey clayey-silt and measured 0.44metres in width and 0.17metres in depth and was capped by backfill (1606) comprising mixture of orange-brown and dark-grey clayey-silts with frequent sub-angular stones and barbwire fragments.

- 7.3.17 Trench 17 was placed in central-western part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.42metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1702) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. No archaeological cuts or deposits were revealed here.
- 7.3.18 Trench 18 was placed in central part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.45metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1803) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. No archaeological cuts or deposits were revealed here.
- 7.3.19 Trench 19 was placed in central part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 27metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.48metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (1903) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. No archaeological cuts or deposits were revealed here.
- 7.3.20 Trench 20 was placed in central part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 24.3metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2003) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. A 0.4metres-thick band of colluvium (2004) was sealing natural geology at SE end of this trench. A shallow linear gully [2005] was exposed within north-western extent of this trench. Feature comprised NW-SE aligned linear cut with shallow sides and uneven base and was filled in by context (2006) of pale-grey clayey-silt. It measured 0.4metres in width and 0.08metres in depth.
- 7.3.21 Trench 21 was placed in central part of the site in NNE-SSW alignment and measured 23metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2103) comprising firmly compacted pale-brown gravelly silty-clay. Ditch [2104] was exposed in central area of this trench. Intervention revealed NW-SE aligned linear with near vertical sides and flat base which measured 0.46metres in width and 0.38metres in depth. Cut was filled-in by context (2105) comprising firmly compacted dark brown-grey silty-clay with frequent subangular stones.
- 7.3.22 Trench 22 was placed in central part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 24metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.38metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2203) comprising firmly compacted pale-brown gravelly silty-clay. Ditch [2206] was exposed in central area of this trench. Intervention revealed W-E aligned linear with moderate sides and concave base and measured 0.7metres in width and 0.36metres in depth. Cut was filled-in by context (2207) comprising firmly compacted dark brown-grey

silty-clay with frequent subangular stones. Pit [2204] was exposed north-western part of this trench. Intervention revealed circular cut with moderate sides and concave base. It measured 0.9metres in width by 0.1metres in depth and was filled by (2205) comprising moderately compacted brown-grey silty-clay with occasional charcoal flecks and frequent sub rounded and sub angular stones.

- 7.3.23 Trench 23 was placed in central part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 24metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2304) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay without noticeable inclusions. No archaeological cuts or deposits were revealed here.
- 7.3.24 Trench 24 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.6metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2403) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with outcrops of gravel. A wide and shallow hollow or pit [2404] was exposed in central part of this trench. Feature had very shallow sides and flat base and measured 3.2metres in width and 0.2metres in depth. In south eastern part of evaluation trench a Ditch [2406] was exposed. An N-S aligned feature had shallow sides and concave base and measured 3.4metres in width and 0.4metres in depth. Its backfill sequence comprised two deposits. Stratigraphically lower context (2407) was dark brown-grey gravel, it measured 2.6metres in width and 0.36metres in depth and was capped by (2408) comprising mid brown-grey clayey-silt with frequent stones. Deposit measured 1.8metres in width and 0.4metres in depth.
- 7.3.25 Trench 25 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.44metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2503) comprising firm, orange-brown gravelly silty-clay. No archaeological cuts or deposits were exposed here.
- 7.3.26 Trench 26 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 22metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.46metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2503) comprising firm, orange-brown gravelly silty-clay. No archaeological cuts or deposits were exposed here.
- 7.3.27 Trench 27 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 27metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.6metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2704) of orange-brown silty-clay. Ditch [2505] in N-S alignment was exposed at south-eastern end of this trench. Feature had steep sides and flat base and

measured 0.37metres in width and 0.08metres in depth. It was truncated by a trench [2707] housing ceramic pipe, this service was running in east-west alignment. Immediately to the north-west a 3metres-wide ditch [2714] was investigated. N-S aligned feature had moderately sloping sides and concave base and measured 0.66metres in depth. Its backfill sequence comprised 3 deposits. Context (2715) comprised mid brown clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones and measured 2.5 metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It was overlain by (2716) comprising mid-brown-grey clayey-silt with frequent stones and was capped on top by context (2717) comprising mid-brown clayey-silt with frequent angular stones. Deposit measured 1.2metres in width and 0.22metres in depth. Pit [2709] was exposed in central part of this trench. Feature had irregular shape in plan with shallow, irregular sides and uneven base. It measured 1.24metres by 1.02metres and 0.09metres in depth. Pit [2711] was sub-circular in plan with very shallow sides and concave base. It measured 2.6metres in width and 0.2metres in depth and was filled by two deposits. Context (2712) comprised firm, dark-grey clayey-silt with frequent charcoal flecks and was capped by (2713) comprising dark brown grey clayey silt with moderate sub-angular stones.

7.3.28 Trench 28 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 28.4 metres in length by 1.8 to 5 metres in width (due to extension). It exposed natural geology context (2803) of light-brown gravel. Ditch [2804] exposed within north-eastern extent comprised N-S aligned linear with steep sides and concave base. It measured 0.5metres in width and 0.25metres in depth and was filled by context (2805) comprising firmly compacted dark-grey silty-clay with gravel and occasional charcoal flecks. Ditch [2812] comprised N-S aligned linear with moderately sloping sides and nearly flat base. It measured 0.5metres in width and 0.2metres in depth and its backfill (2813) comprised dark brownish-grey clayey-silt with frequent stones. Both just described ditches were truncated by modern service trench in E-W alignment. Pit [2808] exposed in central part of evaluation trench comprised sub-circular cut with shallow sides and flat base. It measured 1.32metres in length by 1.2 metres in width and 0.18 metres in depth. Its backfill sequence comprised three deposits; basal fill (2809) comprised softly compacted black charcoal silt with moderate amount of stones and infrequent burnt flints. Deposit measured 0.86metres in width and 0.03metres in depth and was capped by (2810) comprising softly compacted very dark brownish grey clay-silt with frequent sub-angular stones, lumps of orange-brown silty clay, burnt clay, charcoal flecks and lumps. It was capped on top by context (2811) comprising softly compacted black charcoal and ash with moderate amount of stones and occasional burnt flint and pottery sherds. Pit [2816] was found next to just described feature and shared similar characteristics although it was not excavated at this stage and context number was assigned as potsherds were retrieved from its top. Further two similar

features were found adjacently, these were planned but not excavated. Within southwestern extent of evaluation trench another two linears were exposed and investigated. Intervention [2814] revealed E-W aligned linear cut with very shallow sides and nearly flat base. It measured 2.8metres in width and 0.11metres in depth and was filled by context (2815) comprising very compacted gravel with grey-brown clayey-silt. Feature was truncated by Ditch [2806] comprising NW-SE aligned linear with steep sides and concave base. It measured 1.2metres in width by 0.33metres in depth and was filled by soft mixture of gravel charcoal and ash in silty-clay soil matrix.

- 7.3.29 Trench 29 was placed in NE part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.2metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.6metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (2903) of orange-brown gravelly-silty-clay. Two linear features were exposed within NW extent of the trench. Ditch [2907] was an N-S aligned linear with moderately slopping sides and nearly flat base. It measured 0.7metres in width and 0.3metres in depth and was filled by context (2908) comprising firmly compacted gravel with brown clayey-silt. Gully [2904] was an E-W aligned linear cut with near vertical sides and flat base. It measured 0.42metres in width and 0.23metres in depth and was filled by two fills. Context (2905) comprised poorly sorted yellowish-brown silty-clay with dark-grey clayey-silt and infrequent oyster shells and moderate amount of sub-angular stones and measured 0.36metres in width and 0.1metres in depth. That was overlain by (2906) comprising very-dark-grey clayey-silt with moderate amount of stones. Deposit measured 0.41metres in width and 0.3metres in depth.
- 7.3.30 Trench 30 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3003) of firm, orange-brown gravel-silt-clay. An edge of large ditch was exposed in south-western corner of this trench. Feature was exposed and recorded in Trenches 24, 38, 27, 31 and 32.
- 7.3.31 Trench 31 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 23metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.43metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3103) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with gravel. Modern service trench was exposed at NW end of this trench and large linear ditch was revealed at SE end.
- 7.3.32 Trench 32 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 26metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3203) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with gravel outcrops. Pit [3204] was exposed at NE end of this trench. Feature was circular in plan with moderate sides and

concave base and measured 0.86metres by 0.76metres and 0.1metres in depth. It was filled by context (3205) comprising moderately compacted dark-grey clay-silt with frequent charcoal, occasional burnt clay and small angular stones. Ditch [3206] was N-S aligned linear cut with steep straight sides and concave vase. It measured 1.28metres in width and 0.36metres in depth and was filled-in by context (3207) comprising moderately compacted mid-brown mottled dark-brown clayey-silt with frequent tiny stones and pebbles. Ditch [3208] comprised N-S aligned linear with moderately sloping sides stepped at eastern side and flat base. It measured 1.5metres in width and 0.52metres in depth. Its backfill sequence comprised two deposits. Context (3209) was soft, light-grey clayey-silt with orange-brown silt-clay and measured 0.52m in depth and was capped by (3210) comprising mid grey-brown clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones and very occasional oyster shells. Deposit measured 0.9metres in width and 0.3metres in depth.

- 7.3.33 Trench 33 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.52metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3303) comprising firmly compacted orange-brown silty-clay with frequent sub-angular stones. It exposed pocket of gravel, interpreted as natural feature.
- 7.3.34 Trench 34 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 25metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.42metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3403) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with outcrops of gravel. Pit or Ditch terminus [3404] was exposed in SW extent of this trench. Feature comprised SE-NW aligned linear cut with moderate sides and concave base. It measured 0.74metres in width and 0.16metres in depth and was filled-in by context (3405) comprising firmly compacted dark grey-brown clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones.
- 7.3.35 Trench 35 was placed in north-eastern corner of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 25.2 metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.55metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3503) comprising orange-brown silt and sand. Pit [3504] was irregular in plan with shallow sides and uneven base. It measured 1.45metres in width and 0.27metres in depth and was filled-in by (3505) comprising softly compacted mid-brown-grey clay-sand-silt with frequent charcoal and occasional sub-rounded stones and chalk.
- 7.3.36 Trench 36 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NE-SW alignment and measured 24.7metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3603) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with gravel. A sub-circular gravel outcrop was revealed in central part of this trench. Feature was investigated as potential well but turned out to be geological. No archaeological cuts or deposits were revealed here.

- 7.3.37 Trench 37 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in NW-SE alignment and measured 24.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.41metres in depth. It exposed natural geology context (3703) comprising orange-brown silty-clay with outcrops of gravel, mostly in SE part of this trench. Pit [3704] was exposed within NW extent of this trench, irregular and shallow feature was investigated and interpreted as potential tree throw hole. Ditch [3706] was exposed nearby. Feature comprised NE-SW aligned linear with steep sides and concave base and measured 2.02metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. It was filled in by a sequence comprising two deposits. Lower fill (3707) comprised firmly compacted mottled light-grey and brown clay-silt with infrequent angular stones. Deposit measured 0.55metres in width and 0.3metres in depth and was capped by context (3708) comprising moderately compacted dark-brown clay-silt with moderate amount of angular stones and infrequent coal flecks. It measured 2.02metres in width and 0.25metres in depth.
- 7.3.38 Trench 38 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in E-W alignment and measured 5.5metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.7metres in depth. It exposed section of Ditch [3804] comprising N-S aligned linear cut with steep eastern side and moderately sloping western one with almost flat base. It measured 2.6metres in width and 0.65metres in depth and was filled in by sequence of two deposits. Lower context (3805) was firmly compacted mid-brown clayey-silt and measured 1.5metres in width and 0.65metres in depth and was capped by (3806) comprising firmly compacted light-grey clayey-silt with infrequent sub-angular stones and manganese.
- 7.3.39 Trench 39 was placed in north-eastern part of the site in ENE-WSW alignment and measured 20metres in length by 1.8metres in width and 0.5metres in depth. Two extensions dug to its northern side measured 6.5 and 7metres in length respectively. It exposed natural geology context (3903) of mid-orange-brown gravelly silt-clay. A large rectangular cut of WW1 anti-artillery shelter was exposed here. Feature had near vertical sides and flat base and measured 6.5metres in width and 0.7metres in depth. Cut was filled in by two deposits. Context (3905) (at the side) was very dark grey clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones and context (3906) comprised poorly sorted dark-grey loam/soil with orange-brown silty-clay. Fill derived as a result from deliberated backfill.

8 FINDS

8.1 Introduction

Small assemblage of pottery, glass and metal objects were retrieved during the course of evaluation. The catalogues are presented in Appendices 4 and 5.

8.2 A catalogue and summary of the pottery, plus catalogues of ceramic building materials, clay pipes, some metalwork and coal, recovered during an archaeological evaluation at Keycol, Sittingbourne, Kent.

Analyst: Paul Hart

Last updated: 16.03.2022

Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery assemblage

Methodology

- 8.3 The sherds were examined in good light using a hand lens of x10 magnification and were catalogued on a context, total quantity, bulk weight (calculated to the nearest gram), period, ware type, estimate of the number of vessels per ware, condition and date preference basis. They are listed in date order from the earliest to the latest. No information about the contexts or their stratigraphic relationships was known unless stated. In the notes, the pieces are typically plain or less diagnostic body sherds unless stated otherwise.
- 8.4 All dates given are circa.
- 8.5 It should also be noted that:

- All form and decorative pieces are noted and described in the catalogue and their presence is highlighted by the inclusion of the word 'DRAW' (which does not mean that such pieces necessarily need to be drawn for archive level reporting or for publication).

- The material has been bagged by period and separated into DRAW-ables (which do not necessarily need to be drawn for archive level or final site reports or publication) and body sherds.

Period Codes employed

8.6 Period Code Date (circa)

Early Medieval EM 1050 - 1200 AD Medieval M 1200 - 1375 AD Late Post-Medieval LPM 1750 - 1900 AD Modern MOD 1900+ AD

8.7 Abbreviations used

Wear

- F : Fresh
- FF : Fairly fresh
- L : Light
- M : Moderate
- H : Heavy
- C : Chipped (notably so; not light minor damage)
- S : Splintered/Shattered (1 or both original surfaces missing)

Dating

> : To/or later

- 8.7.1 (806) [805] 2 refined white earthenware, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD. 1 English stoneware, LPM>, 1800/1840+ AD.
- 8.7.2 (905) [904] 1 English white salt glazed stoneware, LPM>, 1720-1835/+ AD. 1 ?South Yorks/Midlands redware, LPM>, 1775-1850/1925 AD. 6 refined white earthenwares, 1 transfer printed, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD. 1 English ?porcelain, review and ponder, poss later, LPM>, 1745-1900+ AD. 1 micaceous red earthenware (unusual). PM>LPM. 2 small rounded lumps red cbm. PM>. 1 clay pipe stem, LPM>. 3 small lumps coal.
- 8.7.3 (1101) 1 small disc coin/jetton. Heavily worn, no detail left.
- 8.7.4 (1105) [1104] 1 refined white earthenware, blue transfer print, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD.
- 8.7.5 (1405) [1404] 1 resid ?East Kent shell tempered, less shell, ponder late/early, EM>M, 1175/1200-1225/1250 AD.
- 8.7.6 (2006) [2005] 1 small frag red tile, PM>.
- 8.7.7 (2105) [2104] 1 refined white earthenware, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD.
- 8.7.8 (2207) [2206] on the top feature 1 refined white earthenware, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD. 1 medium sized frag red tile, PM>.
- 8.7.9 (2406) [2405] 2 conjoining refined white earthenware, LPM>, 1780-1900+ AD. 1 small frag thin copper sheet. 3 tiny rounded worn frags sandy red ?daub.
- 8.7.10 (2713) [2711] 1 small frag red tile, PM>.
- 8.7.11 (2716) [2714] 1 frag ?London stoneware, thick, tight concave ?pipe with good quality rich green glaze both surfaces (unusual), LPM>, 1760/?1800+ AD. Looks late.
 Ponder. 1 large frag of red brick, PM>.
- 8.7.12 (2718) [2714] 2 English porcelain, LPM>, 1770+ AD. 1 ?Staffs refined white earthenware, blue sponged underglaze deco, LPM>, 1780/?1820-1900 AD.
- 8.7.13 (2801) 1 large fingertip impressed rim, ?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175-1225/1250 AD.

- 8.7.14 (2805) [2804] 5 ?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175-1225/1250 AD.
- 8.7.15 (2807) [2806] 1 ?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175/1150-1225/1250 AD.
- 8.7.16 (2811) [2808] Good number of sherds, reduced and oxidised, rims from 3/4 vessels,?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175-1225 AD.
- 8.7.17 (2814) [2815] 1 ?residual small v thin, fine sandy ?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175-1250 AD.
- 8.7.18 (2817) [2816] 1 small frag red tile, PM>.
- 8.7.19 (2906) [2904] 4 ?East Kent shell tempered, EM>M, 1175-1225/1250 AD.
- 8.7.20 (3207) [3206] 1 small frag red ?tile, PM>.
- 8.7.21 (3208) [3210] 1 ?East Kent shell tempered, thick, reduced, EM>M, 1075-1200/1225
 AD. Regarding the shell tempered fabrics, which are more likely local than a continental import (otherwise similar), pref is for all of this material to be focussed 1175-1225 AD, either way.

8.8 Miscellaneous Finds Catalogue

Material	Contex	ktWeigł	nt (g)	Quantity	Description	
Oyster Shell	2207	18	1	Abraded		
2406	134	2	Abra	ded fragments		
2811	26	1	Abra	ded		
3210	126	2	Abra	ded		
Glass 806	<1	2	Smal	ll fragments of t	hin flat very clear glass.	
C.19/20						
905	3	2	Smal	ll flat very clear	thin glass C.19/20	
1605 glass C.18/19	3	2	2 cur	rved fragments o	of opaque laminating fragments. Bottle	
2718 C.19/20	235	3	1 bot	ttle base with de	eep indent. Clear green glass 4mm thick	
1 bottle rim segment, 18mm diameter. Clear green glass.						
	0					
Bottle rim se		25mm.	Clear g	lass		
		25mm.	Clear g	lass		
		25mm. 260	Clear g 3		wisted wire hawser	
Bottle rim se	gment, I		3		wisted wire hawser	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe)	gment, 1 605 155	260 5	3 2 sm	Sections of t	wisted wire hawser	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806	gment, 1 605 155 norphou	260 5 Is fragm	3 2 sm nent	Sections of t all fragments	wisted wire hawser	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta	gment, 1 605 155 horphou Il with ri	260 5 Is fragm ght ang	3 2 sm nent le beno	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule	wisted wire hawser near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta	gment, 1 605 155 horphou Il with ri	260 5 Is fragm ght ang	3 2 sm nent le beno	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule		
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s	gment, 1 605 155 horphou Il with ri	260 5 Is fragm ght ang	3 2 sm nent le bend : tube c	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin		
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20	gment, 2 605 155 horphou Il with ri sheet m 853	260 5 is fragm ght ang etal flat 50	3 2 sm hent le bend tube c 36 pi	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905	gment, 2 605 155 horphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions,	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm	3 2 sm hent le bend : tube c 36 pi h and 7	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc	gment, 2 605 155 horphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions,	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm	3 2 sm hent le bend : tube c 36 pi h and 7	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc 12 amorphou	gment, 2 605 155 horphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions,	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm	3 2 sm hent le bend : tube c 36 pi h and 7 5-50mr	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool ?steel wire rope (hawser) fragments	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc 12 amorphou C.20	gment, 2 605 155 norphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions, us Iron Iu 135	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm umps 2! 8	3 2 sm eent le bend : tube c 36 pi n and 7 5-50mr 5-50mr 5 frag	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long m	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool ?steel wire rope (hawser) fragments	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc 12 amorphou C.20 1105	gment, 2 605 155 norphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions, us Iron Iu 135	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm umps 2! 8	3 2 sm eent le bend : tube c 36 pi n and 7 5-50mr 5-50mr 5 frag	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long m	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool ?steel wire rope (hawser) fragments	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc 12 amorphou C.20 1105 3 amorphous	gment, 2 605 155 norphou Il with ri sheet m 853 retions, us Iron Iu 135	260 5 Is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm umps 2! 8	3 2 sm hent le bend tube c 36 pi n and 7 5-50mr 5 frag	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long m	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool ?steel wire rope (hawser) fragments wire hawser	
Bottle rim se Metal (Fe) 806 1 L.40mm an 1 sheet meta Rectangular s C.20 905 2 linear conc 12 amorphous C.20 1105 3 amorphous C.20	gment, 2 605 155 norphou I with ri sheet m 853 retions, us Iron In 135 s iron fra	260 5 is fragm ght ang etal flat 50 110mm umps 2! 8 agments	3 2 sm hent le bend tube c 36 pi n and 7 5-50mr 5 frag 5 Amo	Sections of t all fragments d. ?Ferrule or socket with lin ieces of twisted Omm long m gments twisted	near edge. ?Blade for wooden tool ?steel wire rope (hawser) fragments wire hawser	

3208/3	3210	14	1	Amorphous fragment.
3802	805	1	Heav	y horseshoe L.150mm x w.130mm C.20

Notes:

Glass: Two opaque laminating fragments of bottle glass could be C.18. Fragments of bottles in clear stable modern glass suggest modern C.19/20 date. Small amounts of clear thin modern glass suggest lantern or instrument screen glass not window glass.

Iron: Large amounts of unidentifiable amorphous Fe metal debris. Large quantity of twisted wire hawser fragments suggests heavy lifting equipment. Combined with hitch loop, harness buckle and horseshoe suggest lifting/hauling with horse power. Possible tool blade reinforcement with sheet steel and possible furrule fragment may be associated.

?19th – early 20th Century industrial context.

9 ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

9.1 Introduction

Two soil maples were acquired from sample excavated post-pit of potential granary structure and are being processed. An updated version of this report will be issued when environmental assessment will be completed.

10 DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 Archaeological evaluation land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent has successively fulfilled aims and objectives of the specification and exposed common stratigraphic sequence comprising topsoil and subsoil concealing natural geology in some places capped by colluvium, plough soil or levelling deposit.
- 10.2 Evaluation recorded the presence of Early Medieval/ Medieval field system with potential granary structure within northern part of the proposed development area. Investigation also exposed the remains of WW1 defence system comprising fire trenches and fortifications with anti-artillery shelter.
- 10.3 The fire trenches, barbed wire entanglements and machine gun positions were designed to prevent enemy forces crossing the valley. This is part of the Chatham Land Front, a system of trenches and fortifications that extended from the Southern scarp slope of the Downs at Detling, along the western side of the Stockbury Valley and as far as the high ground around Iwade and Lower Halstow.
- 10.4 The Land Front would have extended westwards along the scarp slope and worked alongside the Thames and Medway Defences which stretched from Grain and Sheerness along the north coast and high ground of Sheppey as far as Shellness at the eastern end of the island. The trenches would not have looked out of place on the Western Front and indeed, though mostly in place in early 1915, we can see modifications taking account of developments arising from the battlefields of the Continent.



Figure A: Simplified map of the WW1 defences Copyright Victor Smith and KCC

- 10.5 The remains exposed within south-eastern part of evaluated area (Trenches 8, 9, 11, 12 and 6) comprising a front line traversed fire trench. Trench walls were supported by a construction utilising small timbers and planks preventing collapse and washing-in soil into the trench. An evidence for vertically placed timbers holding the revetment in place was exposed in evaluation Trenches 8 and 11. Every fire trench had also a sump dug into its base to facilitate drainage. The sump usually was dug as a gully at its base and covered with boards. The evidence for that was exposed in evaluation Trenches 6 and 9.
- 10.6 The side of front line fire trench closest to attacking enemy was called a parapet. Usually it had a step allowing soldiers to stand out high enough to fire towards invading enemy. At the top of the trench a berm was made and a wall of sand bags was build. At the rear side of the trench a parados was built to prevent enemy attack from behind and to secure machine gun emplacements. The part of fire trench exposed in evaluation trench 6 had a shelf at the top of parapet presumably to allow soldiers more comfortably hide behind sand bags walls and firing.

- 10.7 Potential first support line trench, also traversed was exposed in evaluation Trenches 15 and 16. The overall construction of the trench seems a bit different. The profile is less squared and resembles a ditch rather than a trench. There was no evidence for vertically placed stakes or timbers holding the revetment in place and instead of nicely dug flat base with a sump the exposed base here was concave what still may work quite efficiently as a sump if flat boards are placed on top of it where the sides are getting narrower. In this case the board will be held in place but the overall construction is less durable and much shallower than exposed front line trench.
- 10.8 Trench 1 exposed a hollow cut a potential droveway into the field however no dating evidence was present so its association with WW1 defence lines is only speculative. A linear hollow exposed in evaluation Trenches 2,3 and 7 seems to be natural formation rather than man-made cut.
- 10.9 Narrower and potentially straight trenches that could be a part of front line support network were exposed in evaluation trenches 20, 21 and 22. Linear trench exposed in evaluation Tr. 20 and 21 seems too narrow to serve as anything else like communication trench (housing cables) or simply a drain. Linear exposed in 22 is wide enough to allowing soldiers moving through.
- 10.10 A Holloway in N-S alignment was exposed in northern part of the proposed development area. Highly likely feature was a part of front line support system allowing movement of groups of soldiers. Investigation in evaluation Tr 32 have exposed wide and shallow cut with roadside ditch along NE side. Feature is getting wider and deeper as revealed in evaluation Trench 27 and probably it had sand bags walls astride the Holloway. The excavated section in Trench 27 revealed a fragment of steel angled beam with a thick layer of corrosion suggesting its disposal approximately hundred years ago. This kind and angled beam could be used as a fence post or barbed wire overhang.
- 10.11 Anti-artillery shelter was exposed in north-eastern extent of PDA. The excavated section through deliberated backfill of the cut revealed a packing material supporting the revetment of the structure's sides however there was no evidence for vertical posts supporting the construction and it was assumed that revetment here was build using flat-laid larger timbers, planks and sand bags.
- 10.12 Lastly an Early Medieval/ Medieval field system with potential four post granary structure exposed within north-eastern extent of the proposed development area seems to be limited to the area covered by evaluation Trenches 28 and 29. It's however possible that N-S aligned Holloway follows an earlier ditch or track associated with early medieval agriculture. Supporting evidence was retrieved from intervention in Trench 32 although further slots excavated in other trenches only produced finds dated to the Late Post Medieval to Modern periods.
- 10.13 Undertaken fieldwork recorded substantial evidence that significant archaeological features and deposits are still present within north-eastern, central, south-western and south-eastern extents of the site and that subsequent mitigation measures must take place prior to the commencement of construction and for an intrusive groundworks.
- 10.14 Development proposals are likely to impact on archaeological remains therefore a preservation in-situ is proposed where possible and strip map and sample programme is recommended to take place within north-eastern part of the site where archaeological remains can't be preserved. An archaeological watching brief is proposed to take place during construction works in places where WW1 remains will be impacted by proposed development. The ultimate scale and scope of mitigation will be set out in WSI and agreed with Principal Archaeological Officer at Kent County Council separately in due course.

11 ARCHIVE

- 11.1 9.1 The Site archive, which will include; paper records, photographic records, graphics and digital data, will be prepared following nationally recommended guidelines (SMA 1995; CIFA 2009; Brown 2011; ADS 2013).
- 11.2 9.2 All archive elements will be marked with the site/accession code, and a full index will be prepared. The physical archive comprises 1 file/document case of paper records & A4 graphics. The Site Archive will be retained at SWAT Archaeology offices until such time it can be transferred to a designated Kent Museum.

12 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 12.1 10.1 SWAT Archaeology would like to thank to the Developer for commissioning the project. Thanks are extended to Simon Mason, a principal archaeological officer from KCC for his help and advice during the course of investigation and to Pavel Cichy for supervising the fieldwork.
- 12.2 10.2 On completion of the project, the archaeological contractor is to arrange for the transfer, subject to the landowners consent, of the documentary, photographic and material archive to SWAT Archaeology, and to ensure that the appropriate level of resources for cataloguing, boxing and long term storage are provided for a set fee until such times that designated museum in Kent can accept the archive.
- 12.3 10.3 The archaeological contractor is to allow the site records to be inspected and examined at any reasonable time, during or after the evaluation, by the developer, and the Kent County Council Archaeological Officer.
- 12.4 10.4 Copies of all reports compiled as a result of the excavation and post-excavation archaeological works will be submitted to the developer as CD containing a .pdfA version. In addition a CD containing a .pdfA version of the report and a selection of site photos in jpeg format to be sent to the KCC Archaeological Officer and once approved sent to the KCC HER for inclusion in HER Records.
- 12.5 10.5 The work the archaeological contractor is to abide by the Code of conduct and the Codes of approved practice for the regulation of contractual arrangements in field archaeology of the Institute of Field Archaeologists. The report was written by: SWAT Archaeology (P Cichy) The Office, School Farm Oast, Faversham, Kent, ME13 8UP Date: 16/03/2022.

13 REFERENCES

ADS 2013. Caring for Digital Data in Archaeology: a guide to good practice, Archaeology Data Service & Digital Antiquity Guides to Good Practice Brown, D.H., 2011. Archaeological archives; a guide to best practice in creation, compilation, transfer and curation, Archaeological Archives Forum (revised edition)

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2009, Standard and Guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives, Institute for Archaeologists

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014, Standard and guidance: for field evaluation.

Chartered Institute for Archaeologists, 2014, Standard and guidance for the creation, compilation, transfer and deposition of archaeological archives.

Department of the Environment, 2010, Planning for the Historic Environment, Planning (PPS 5) HMSO.

English Heritage 2002. Environmental Archaeology; a guide to theory and practice of methods, from sampling and recovery to post-excavation, Swindon, Centre for Archaeology Guidelines

English Heritage, 2006, Management of Research Projects in the Historic Environment (MoRPHE).

SMA 1993. Selection, Retention and Dispersal of Archaeological Collections, Society of Museum Archaeologists. SMA 1995. Towards an Accessible Archaeological Archive, Society of Museum Archaeologists

SPECIFICATION FOR A PROGRAMME OF ARCHAEOLOGICAL Evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent (SWAT 2022)

Kent Archaeological Society KAS-100 Discovering Swale's 20th Century Defences. Defence of Swale Project – Simon Mason, Victor Smith, Alan Anstee and Richard Taylor.

BRITAIN'S FIRST WORLD WAR DEFENCES By Victor Smith, Alan Anstee and Simon Mason

APPENDIX 1

Core Personnel Structure

Project Management - Fieldwork	Role
Dr Paul Wilkinson, MCIfA, FSA	Director
Peter Cichy	Project Manager
Dave Britchfield	Project Manager
Pawel Cichy	Site Supervisor
Django Rayner	Surveyor
Finds	Specialist
Flint	Paul Hart
Early Prehistoric Pottery	Paul Hart
Later prehistoric and Roman pottery	Dr Malcolm Lyne
Saxon, Medieval and Post Medieval pottery	Paul Hart
Metal finds, glass and oyster	Ges Moody
Conservation support and x-ray photography	Dana Goodburn-Brown, MSc
Samples and human remains	Specialist
Environmental soil processing	QUEST
Faunal, floral micro and macro remains	Dr Mike Allen
Animal Remains (Bones)	Carol White
Palaeomagnetism	Peter Cichy
Human Remains	Dr Chris Dieter
Micro-excavation (cremation burials)	Dana Goodburn-Brown
Post-Excavation and publication	Role
Peter Cichy	author
Pawel Cichy, M. Cichy	illustrations

APPENDIX 2 – HER FORM

Site Name: Archaeological Evaluation land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent

SWAT Site Code: KHB-EV-22

Site Address: As above

Summary: Swale & Thames Survey Company (SWAT Archaeology) was commissioned by Esquire Developments to undertake an archaeological evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent. The archaeological programme was monitored by the Principal Archaeological Officer at Kent County Council. The Archaeological Evaluation consisted of 39 trenches, which recorded a relatively common stratigraphic sequence comprising topsoil, subsoil and colluvium overlying natural geology.

The archaeological evaluation has recorded the presence of Early Medieval/ Medieval agricultural activity with potential small granary store within north-eastern extent of the proposed development area. WW1 remains comprising traversed fire trenches, supporting infrastructure and an anti-artillery shelter were exposed in south-western, south-eastern, central and north-eastern parts of the site.

Preservation in-situ is proposed where possible, small limited strip map and sample and subsequent watching brief during construction works.

District/Unitary: Swale Borough Council & Kent County Council Period(s): early medieval, medieval, late post medieval, modern NGR (centre of site to eight figures) NGR 587570 164547 Type of Archaeological work: Archaeological Evaluation Date of recording: February-March 2022 Unit undertaking recording: Swale and Thames Survey Company (SWAT Archaeology) Geology: bedrock geology of Lambeth Group- Clay, Silt, Sand & Gravel. Superficial Deposits are not recorded. Title and author of accompanying report: SWAT Archaeology (P Cichy 2022) Archaeological Evaluation on land at Hill Farm, Rook Lane, Keycol Hill, Bobbing, Kent Location of archive/finds: SWAT. Archaeology. Graveney Rd, Faversham, Kent. ME13 8UP

Contact at Unit: Paul Wilkinson

TRENCH TABLE

Trench: 1		Dimension	s: 26m by 1.8m Depth: 0.36m	Trench alligment: NW-SE	
		Ground lev			
Context Number	Internretation		Description	Dimensions	
101	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.22m
103	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown, sil moderate amount of subangular		
104		Pit	Semi oval with irregular sides and base		Length: 0.9m Width: 1m Depth: 0.38m
105	F	ill of pit	Medium compaction, mixed mid gr brown, clay-silt with frequent angula and subrounded stones (1cm-	Length: 0.9m Width: 1m Depth: 0.38m	
106	Н	olloway	NE-SW aligned linear with moderate sides and flat base		Width: 11m Depth: 1.1m
107	Fill of holloway		Firm compaction, mid brown, clay-silt.		Width: 11m Depth: 1.1m

Trench: 2 Dimension		ons: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.8m	Trench alligment: NE-SW			
	Ground	level at NE: 53.18 m OD Ground level at S	l at NE: 53.18 m OD Ground level at SW: 54.49 m OD			
Context Number	Interpretation	Description	Description			
201	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places			
202	Levelling depos		Dark brownish grey clayey-silt with occasional chalk small pieces and moderate amount of sub-angular stones.			
203	Levelling depos	it Brown clayey-silt with occasional sm angular cobbles.	all stones and	Thickness: 0.2m		
204	Recently forme deposit		Mottled pale grey and dark brown clayey-silt with high manganese content (around 30%) and occasional			
205	Uncertain mode feature	deposits. It was not obvious but with a can opener was found. Exposed cut loo WW1 trench with vertical sides. Ho further extending of a dig including sta longer visible. Most likely it was jus anomaly. Can opener was confirmed to	Feature noticed after removing 1.2m of overlaying deposits. It was not obvious but with metal detector can opener was found. Exposed cut looked like poss. WW1 trench with vertical sides. However after further extending of a dig including steps cut was no longer visible. Most likely it was just geological anomaly. Can opener was confirmed to be much later than WW1 so no further investigation was made.			
206	Fill of poss. geological anom	Mixed light brown and pale grey clayey-silt		Length: 2m Width: 1.5m Depth: 0.7m		
207	Deposit Very compact and cracked buried dark brown deposit		Thickness: 0.2m Width: 12m Depth: 0.4m			
208	Natural		Orange brown silty-clay but with very obvious large cracks filled by pale grey silt.			
209 Natural		Orange brown silty clay	Orange brown silty clay			
Trench: 3	Trench: 3 Dimensions:		: 24m by 1.8m Depth: 0.4m Trench alligm		ent: NE-SW	
-------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	--	--	--	
		Ground leve	el at NE: 56.12 m OD Ground level at S	W: 56.6 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
301	7	Гор soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub- stones occuring frequently in		Thickness: 0.3m	
302	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown silt moderate amount of small subroun			
303		ver dumbed naterial	Soft mix of dark and light brown clayey-silt with occasional subangular stones.		Thickness: 0.4m	
304		dle dumped naterial	Soft brown clayey-silt with occasional chalk fragments and moderate amount of subangular stones.		Thickness: 0.6m	
305		Pit	Subcircular shape in plan with irregular sides and irregular base		Length: 0.75m Width: 0.75m Depth: 0.1m	
306	F	ill of pit		Soft compaction, dark grey, clay-silt with occasional fragments of burnt clay, frequent charcoal		
307		Pit	Oval shape in plan with moderate sides and convex base.		Length: 0.55m Width: 0.3m Depth: 0.1m	
308	F	ill of pit	Soft compaction, purly sorted light grey and dark grey, clay-silt with occasional charcoal.		Length: 0.55m Width: 0.3m Depth: 0.1m	
309		er dumped naterial	Very dark grey clayey silt with free fragments, stones and small cbm f		Thickness: 0.3m	

Trench: 4 Dimensions:		Dimensions	: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.4m Trench allign		ient: NW-SE	
Ground level at NW: 57.23 m OD Ground level at SE: 54.59 m OD)			
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions	
401	401 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.27m	
402	2 Natural		Orange brown silty clay			

Trench: 5	Trench: 5 Dimensions		:: 25.3m by 1.8m Depth: 0.41m Trench alligned		ent: NE-SW
Ground level at NE: 55.64 m OD Ground level at SW: 56.24 m OD)		
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions
501	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.32m
502	S	ub-soil	Greyish brown clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones		Thickness: 0.08m
503	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay with frequent sub-angular stones.		

Trench: 6	Trench: 6 Dimensions:		s: 27m by 1.8m Depth: 0.35m Trench alligned		ent: NW-SE	
		Ground leve	el at NW: 54.12 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 52.02 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
601	Т	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clayey silt with sub stones occuring frequently in	0	Thickness: 0.24m	
602	Sub soil		Firm compaction, mid greyish brown, occasional subangular ston	•	Thickness: 0.1m	
603	Natural		Firm compaction, orange brown, silty-clay with occasional small stones			
604	Pit		Irregular shape in plan with irregular s	sides and base	Length: 0.6m Width: 1m Depth: 0.16m	
605	Fill of pit		Firm compaction, mixed dark grey,n brown, clay-silt with frequent c		Length: 0.6m Width: 1m Depth: 0.16m	
606	WV	V1 Trench	E-W aligned linear with vertical sides and shelf at southern edge. Base was flat with small gully running along northern edge - same as observed in other slots.		Length: 1.5m Width: 2m Depth: 1m	
607	Fill of WW1 Trench		Brown with dark brown patches clay moderate amount of stones and occa		Length: 1.5m Width: 2m Depth: 1m	

Trench: 7	Trench: 7 Dimensions: 25.5m by 1.8m Depth:		: 25.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.4m	Trench alligm	ent: NE-SW
Ground leve		Ground leve	el at NE: 51.23 m OD Ground level at SW: 51.46 m OD)
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions
701)1 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.3m
702	Level	ling deposit	Mottled grey and brown clayey-silt - Not excavated due to two live water pipes buried in the area		
703	703 Levelling deposit Very dark grey clayey-silt with frequent chalk small pieces and very occasional coal		Thickness: 0.8m		
704	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay		

Trench: 8 Dimensions:		Dimensions	:: 25.8m by 1.8m Depth: 0.76m Trench alligned		ent: NE-SW	
		Ground leve	el at NE: 50.55 m OD Ground level at S	W: 48.55 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
801	Т	op soil	Soft very dark grey sandy clayey silt w small stones occuring frequently	•	Thickness: 0.33m	
802	Sub soil		Dark brownish grey sandy clayey silt v stones	vith occasional	Thickness: 0.16m	
803	Upp	er natural	Mid brown silty sand heavily disturbed	l by ploughing	Thickness: 0.28m	
804	Low	ver natural	Firm compaction light yellowish brown silty sand.			
805	World	war I trench	E-W aligned linear with vertical sides and flat base		Length: 0.7m Width: 1.12m Depth: 0.94m	
806		`world war I trench	Soft compaction, mid brownish grey with light yellow and green patches, occasional subrounded stones up to 4cm, v. occ. Charcoal		Length: 0.7m Width: 1.12m Depth: 0.94m	
807	St	ake hole	Circular with vertical side	es	Length: 0.07m Width: 0.07m	
808	Fill o	f stake hole	Very soft compaction, dark grey, clay-silt		Length: 0.07m Width: 0.07m	
809	St	ake hole	Circular with vertical sides		Length: 0.07m Width: 0.07m	
810	Fill o	f stake hole	Very soft compaction, dark grey,	clay-silt	Length: 0.07m Width: 0.07m	

Trench: 9	Trench: 9Dimensions: 24.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.45mTrench alligment:		ent: NW-SE		
		Ground leve	l at NW: 48.79 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 49.43 m OD	
Context Number	Internretation Description		Dimensions		
901	Top soilSoft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.3m		
903	1	Natural	Gravel with orange brown silty-clay		
904	WV	V1 Trench	N-S aligned linear with near vertical sides and flat base with gully running along eastern edge.		Length: 1m Width: 1.26m Depth: 1.36m
905	Fill of	WW1 Trench	Soft light grey clayey-silt filling the gully at the base of WW1 trench		Width: 0.38m Depth: 0.22m
906	Fill of	WW1 Trench	Poorly sorted brown and dark grey clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones and occasional wire.		Width: 1.26m Depth: 1.2m

Trench: 10 Dimensions		Dimensions	:: 25.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m Trench alligne		ent: NW-SE	
Ground leve		Ground leve	el at NW: 50.12 m OD Ground level at SE: 49.63 m OD)	
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions	
1001	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.3m	
1002	Sub soilFirm compaction, mid greyish brown, clay silt with occasional subangular stone		•	Thickness: 0.15m		
1003	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown, silty clay with occasional small stones			

Trench: 1	Trench: 11 Dimensions:		: 25.3m by 1.8m Depth: 0.35m Trench alligned		ent: NE-SW	
Ground leve		Ground leve	l at NE: 49.52 m OD Ground level at S	W: 49.1 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
1101	Т	Cop soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.32m	
1102	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay			
1103	WW	V1 Trench	WNW-ESE aligned linear with near vertical to vertical sides with small shelf at SSW edge. Base was flat with small gully running along NNE edge.		Length: 1.8m Width: 1.8m Depth: 1.42m	
1104	Fill of '	WW1 Trench	Poorly sorted orange brown silty-clay (85%) with dark grey clayey-silt (15%) plus frequent sub-angular stones.		Length: 1.8m Width: 1.8m Depth: 1.42m	

Trench: 12 Dir		Dimensions:	s: 32m by 1.8m Depth: 0.32m Trench alligne		ient: NW-SE	
Ground leve		Ground leve	el at NW: 52.26 m OD Ground level at SE: 50.16 m OD)	
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions	
1201	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.23m	
1202	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay			
1204		/1 trench - excavated	Linear with sharp corners			
1205	Mid brown with grey patches clayey silt with					

Trench: 1	Trench: 13 Dimensions		s: 24.8m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m Trench alligm		nent: NE-SW	
		Ground lev	el at NE: 52.49 m OD Ground level at S	el at NE: 52.49 m OD Ground level at SW: 53.04 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
1301	Т	Top soil	soil Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.32m	
1302	Sub soilFirm compaction, mixed dark grey and mid brown, clay-silt with occasional subangular stones		Thickness: 0.1m			
1303	Сс	olluvium	Firm compaction, light grey, clay-silt with frequent subngular stones		Thickness: 0.15m	
1304	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown, silty-clay moderate amount of subangular stones			
1305		Pit	Semicircular with moderate sides and undulating base		Length: 0.9m Width: 0.88m Depth: 0.18m	
1306	F	ill of pit	Medium compaction, mixed mid grey with mid brown, silty-clay with moderate amount of charcoal, occasiona subrounded and angular stones (up to 3cm), very occasional burnt clay flecks.		Length: 0.9m Width: 0.88m Depth: 0.18m	

Trench: 14 Dimen		Dimensions	s: 25.3m by 1.8m Depth: 0.44m Trenc		French alligment: NW-SE	
		Ground leve	el at NW: 56.01 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 53.52 m OE)	
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions	
1401	l Top soil		1	Soft compaction, very dark grey, clay-silt with frequent subangular stones		
1402	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown silty-clay with occasional subangular stones			
1404		Pit	Subcircular with moderate sides and flat base		Length: 0.7m Width: 0.96m Depth: 0.12m	
1405	F	ill of pit	Soft compaction, dark grey, silty-clay with frequent charcoal, very occasional burnt clay flecks, occasional small angular stones (up to 1cm), 1 pot sherd 3x4cm.		Length: 0.7m Width: 0.96m Depth: 0.12m	

Trench: 15 Dimensions:		Dimensions	25m by 6m Depth: 0.35m	Trench alligment: NNE-SSW	
		Ground leve	l at NNE: 56.51 m OD Ground level at	SSW: 56.87 m	OD
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
1501	Т	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub- stones occuring frequently in		Thickness: 0.3m
1502	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay with outcro	ps of gravel	
1504	NE-SW aligned			ed linear with steep sides and concave	
1505	Fill of WW1 Trench		Dark grey clayey-silt	Dark grey clayey-silt	
1506	Fill of WW1 Trench		Mixed orange brown and dark grey cl frequent sub-angular stone	• •	Length: 1m Width: 1.13m Depth: 0.51m
1507	WW1 Trench		NE-SW aligned linear with steep side base	s and concave	Length: 1m Width: 1.16m Depth: 0.65m
1508	Fill of	ill of WW1 Trench Dark grey clayey-silt		Thickness: 0.08m Length: 1m Width: 0.62m Depth: 0.44m	
1509	99 Fill of WW1 Trench Mixed orange brown and dark grey clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones.		Length: 1m Width: 1.16m Depth: 0.63m		

Trench: 1	6	Dimensions	22.3m by 4m Depth: 0.4m	Trench alligment: NW-SE	
		Ground leve	l at NW: 56.12 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 54.8 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
1601	501Top soilSoft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occuring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.32m		
1602	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay with outcrops of gravel		
1604	WW1 Trench NE-SW aligned linear with steep sides and f		s and flat base	Length: 1m Width: 0.92m Depth: 0.56m	
1605	Fill of `	WW1 Trench	Dark grey clayey-silt		Length: 1m Width: 0.44m Depth: 0.17m
1606	Fill of '	WW1 Trench	Mixed orange brown and dark grey clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones and wire		Length: 1m Width: 0.92m Depth: 0.42m

Trench: 17 Dimensions:		Dimensions	25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.42m Trench alligne		nent: NE-SW
Ground level at NE: 54.32 m OD Ground level at SW: 54.57 m OD)		
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions
1701	1 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.3m
1702	1702 Natural Orange brown silty clay				

Trench: 1	Trench: 18 Dimensions:		: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.45m Trench alligme		ent: NW-SE	
Ground level at NW: 53.32 m OD Grou			el at NW: 53.32 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 51.59 m OD		
Context Number	Informatation		Description		Dimensions	
1801	Т	op soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.25m	
1802	S	ub-soil	Dark grey clayey-silt with moderate amount of sub- angular stones.		Thickness: 0.12m	
1803	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay			

Trench: 19 Dimensions:		Dimensions	: 27m by 1.8m Depth: 0.48m Trench alligme		ent: NE-SW	
Ground level at NE: 51.03 m OD Ground leve			el at NE: 51.03 m OD Ground level at S	W: 51.38 m OD)	
Context Number	Internretation		Description		Dimensions	
1901	Т	op soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.28m	
1902	S	ub-soil	Dark grey with brown patches clayey-silt with occasional sub-angular stones		Thickness: 0.15m	
1903	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay			

Trench: 2	0	Dimensions: 24.3m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m		Trench alligment: NW-SE	
		Ground leve	el at NW: 51.81 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 50.79 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
2001	T	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.32m
2002	S	Sub soil	Firm compaction, mid brown, clay-silt with moderate amount of subangular stones		Thickness: 0.17m
2003	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown, silty-clay with frequent subngular stones		
2004	Сс	olluvium	Firm compaction, mixed light grey and mid brown, clay-silt with occasional subangular stones		Thickness: 0.4m
2005		Gully	NW-SE aligned linear with shallow sides and irregular base.		Width: 0.4m Depth: 0.08m
2006	Fil	l of gully	Pale grey clayey-silt		Width: 0.4m Depth: 0.08m

Trench: 2	Trench: 21 Dimensions:		: 23m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m	Trench alligment: NNE-SSW	
		Ground leve	el at NNE: 53.24 m OD Ground level at	SSW: 52.7 m C	DD
Context Number	l Interpretation Description			Dimensions	
2101	Т	lop soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.29m
2102	S	Sub soil	Firm compaction, dark grey with light brown patches, clay-silt with frequent subngular stones		Thickness: 0.13m
2103	1	Natural	Firm compaction, pale brown gravel w	vith silty-clay	
2104		Ditch	NW-SE aligned linear with vertical sides and flat base		Length: 0.5m Width: 0.46m Depth: 0.38m
2105	Fil	l of ditch	Firm compaction, dark brownish grey, frequent subngular and subrounded sto		Length: 0.5m Width: 0.46m Depth: 0.38m

Trench: 22	2	Dimensions	:: 24m by 1.8m Depth: 0.38m	24m by 1.8m Depth: 0.38m Trench alligment	
		Ground leve	el at NW: 52.86 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 51.36 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
2201	Т	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.26m
2202	S	ub-soil	Dark grey gravely-clayey-s	silt	Thickness: 0.12m
2203	1	Natural	Orange brown gravely silty	Orange brown gravely silty clay	
2204		Pit	Circular with moderate sides and concave base		Length: 0.5m Width: 0.9m Depth: 0.1m
2205	F	ill of pit	Medium compaction, mid greyish brown, silty-clay with frequent subngular and subrounded stones up to 5cm		Length: 0.5m Width: 0.9m Depth: 0.1m
2206		Ditch	E-W aligned linear with moderate sides and concave base		Length: 0.7m Width: 0.7m Depth: 0.36m
2207	Fil	l of ditch	Medium compaction, mod brownish grey, silty-clay with occ charcoal flecks, frequent subangular and subrounded stones up to 7cm,		Length: 0.7m Width: 0.7m Depth: 0.36m

Trench: 2	Trench: 23Dimensions: 24m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5mTrench alligned		ent: NE-SW		
Ground level at NE: 51.22 m OD Ground level at SW: 50.9		W: 50.95 m OD			
Context Number	Interpretation		Description	Description	
2301	Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		
2302	S	Sub-soil	il Dark grey clayey-silt with moderate amount of sub- angular stones.		Thickness: 0.1m
2303	Сс	olluvium	Firm compaction, mixed light grey and mid brown, clay-silt with occasional subangular stones		Thickness: 0.4m
2304	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay		

Trench: 2	4	Dimensions	:: 25.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.6m	5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.6m Trench alligment: 1		
		Ground lev	el at NW: 51.74 m OD Ground level at S	el at NW: 51.74 m OD Ground level at SE: 51.1 m OD		
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions	
2401	Т	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in	U	Thickness: 0.3m	
2402	Sub-soil		Dark grey clayey-silt with frequent stones.	Dark grey clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones.		
2403	1	Natural Orange brown silty clay with outcrops of gravel				
2404	Pit		Shape in plan uncertain as not exposed. Sides very shallow and flat base.		Width: 3.2m Depth: 0.2m	
2405	F	ill of pit	very dark grey clayey-silt with frequer	t chalk flecks.	Width: 3.2m Depth: 0.2m	
2406		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with shallow sides and convex base.		Length: 1m Width: 3.4m Depth: 0.4m	
2407	Fil	l of ditch	Compact dark brownish grey gravel		Width: 2.6m Depth: 0.36m	
2408	Fil	l of ditch	Mid brownish grey clayey-silt with frequent stones.		Width: 1.8m Depth: 0.4m	

Trench: 25 Dimensions:		Dimensions	: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.44m Trench alligne		ent: NE-SW	
Ground level at NE: 52.63 m OD Ground level at SW: 52.97 m OD						
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions	
2501	501 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.31m	
2502	S	Sub-soil	Light greyish brown gravel		Thickness: 0.1m	
2503	1	Natural	Orange brown gravely silty	clay		

Trench: 2	Trench: 26Dimensions: 22m by 1.8m Depth: 0.46mTrench alligm		ent: NE-SW		
Ground level at NE: 52.96 m OD Ground level at SW: 53.38 m OD					
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions
2601	2601 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.35m
2602	Sub soil		Light grey silty-clay with gr	Light grey silty-clay with gravel	
2603	2603 Natural		Orange brown silty-clay with	gravel	

Trench: 27 Dimensions:		Dimensions	27m by 1.8m Depth: 0.6m	Trench alligm	ent: NW-SE
		Ground leve	l at NW: 52.4 m OD Ground level at SI	E: 52.25 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
2701]	Гор soil	Soft very dark grey clay-silt with sub- stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.3m
2702	S	Sub soil	Dark greyish brown clay-s		Thickness: 0.3m
2703]	Natural	Orange brown silty clay		
2704	C	olluvium	Mixed mid brown and pale grey c	layey-silt	Thickness: 0.28m
2705		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with steep sides a	nd flat base.	Width: 0.37m Depth: 0.08m
2706	Fil	l of ditch	Soft compaction, dark brownish grey, occasional pebbles	clay-silt with	Width: 0.37m Depth: 0.08m
2707	Pij	pe trench	E-W aligned linear with near vertica unexposed.	l sides. Base	Width: 0.4m Depth: 0.2m
2708	Fill of	f pipe trench	Soft compaction, dark grey with ora patches, clay-silt with occasional suba up to 5cm.		Width: 0.4m Depth: 0.2m
2709		Pit	Irregular shape in plan with irregular sides and base		Length: 1.02m Width: 1.24m Depth: 0.09m
2710	F	ill of pit	Firm compaction, pale grey, clay-silt with frequent subangular stones up to 4cm		Length: 1.02m Width: 1.24m Depth: 0.09m
2711		Pit	Sub-circular with very shallow sides base.	and concave	Width: 2.8m Depth: 0.2m
2712	F	ill of pit	Dark grey clayey-silt with frequent ch	narcoal flecks	Width: 1.5m Depth: 0.15m
2713	F	ill of pit	Dakr browish grey clayey silt with mo of sub-angular stones	derate amount	Width: 2m Depth: 0.1m
2714		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with moderately slo concave base	oped sides and	Length: 1m Width: 3m Depth: 0.66m
2715	Fil	l of ditch	Mid brown clayey-silt with frequent stones	sub-angular	Thickness: 0.1m Length: 1m Width: 2.5m Depth: 0.5m
2716	Fil	l of ditch	Mid brownish grey clayey-silt with free	equent stones.	Length: 1m Width: 2.2m Depth: 0.52m
2717	Fil	l of ditch	Mid brown clayey-silt with frequent stones	sub-angular	Length: 1m Width: 1.2m Depth: 0.22m
2718	S	Sub-soil	Dark greyish brown clay-silt with fr angular stones.	equent sub-	Thickness: 0.2m
2719	Band o	f clay deposit	Yellowish brown clay		Thickness: 0.18m Width: 3.8m

Trench: 28 Dimensions:		Dimensions	s: 24m by 5m Depth: 0.5m Trench alligment: NE-		ent: NE-SW
		Ground leve	el at NE: 52.97 m OD Ground level at S	W: 52.42 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
2801	T	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in	U	Thickness: 0.25m
2802	S	Sub soil	Greyish brown gravely claye	y silt	Thickness: 0.2m
2803	1	Natural	Light brown gravel		
2804		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with steep sides and	concave base.	Length: 1m Width: 0.5m Depth: 0.25m
2805	Fil	l of ditch	Firm compaction, dark grey, mixed si gravel, occasional charcoal fl		Length: 1m Width: 0.5m Depth: 0.25m
2806		Ditch	NW-SE aligned linear with steep sides bas	s and concave	Length: 1m Width: 1.2m Depth: 0.33m
2807	Fil	l of ditch	Soft mixture of gravel, charcoal, ash and silty-clay.		Length: 1m Width: 1.2m Depth: 0.33m
2808		Pit	Subcircular with shallow sides and flat base		Length: 1.32m Width: 1.2m Depth: 0.18m
2809	Fi	ill of pit	Soft compaction, black, charcoal an moderate amount of stones and occasion		Width: 0.86m Depth: 0.03m
2810	F	ill of pit	Soft compaction, very dark brownish with frequent subngular stones up to 10 orange brown silty-clay, burnt clay s charcoal flecks, occasional charco	0cm, lumps of mall lumps,	Length: 1.32m Width: 1.2m Depth: 0.16m
2811	F	ill of pit	Soft compaction, black, charcoal an moderate amount of stones and occasio very occasional oyster shell, occasio	onal burnt flint,	Length: 1.12m Width: 0.9m Depth: 0.16m
2812		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with moderately sl sides and nearly flat base		Length: 1.2m Width: 0.5m Depth: 0.2m
2813	Fil	l of ditch	Dark brownish grey clayey-silt with fr up to 6cm.	requent stones	Length: 1.2m Width: 0.5m Depth: 0.2m
2814		llow linear feature	E-W aligned linear with very shallor nearly flat base	w sides and	Width: 2.8m Depth: 0.11m
2815		shallow linear feature	Compact gravel with greyish brown	Compact gravel with greyish brown clayey-silt.	
2816		Pit	Circular unexcavated		Width: 1.3m
2817	F	ill of pit	Black charcoal and ash		Width: 1.3m

Trench: 29 Dimensions		Dimensions	s: 25.2m by 1.8m Depth: 0.6m Trench alligm		ent: NW-SE
		Ground leve	el at NW: 52.73 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 53.19 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
2901	Г	op soil	Soft very dark grey clayey silt with fr	equent stones	Thickness: 0.3m
2902	-			n gravel with clayey silt (gradually more	
2903	1	Natural	Orange brown gravely silty clay		
2904		Gully	N-S aligned linear with near vertical sides and flat base		Width: 0.42m Depth: 0.23m
2905	Fil	l of gully	grey clayey-silt with very occasional	Poorly sorted yellowish brown silty clay and dark grey clayey-silt with very occasional oyster shell fragents and moderate amount of subangular stones	
2906	Fil	l of gully	Very dark grey clayey silt with moder stones.	Very dark grey clayey silt with moderate amount of	
2907		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with moderately sloped straight sides and nearly flat base		Length: 1m Width: 0.7m Depth: 0.3m
2908	Fil	l of ditch	Firm/compact gravel 60% with brown clayey silt 40%.		Length: 1m Width: 0.7m Depth: 0.3m

Trench: 3	Trench: 30Dimensions: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5mTrench allign		ent: NE-SW		
Ground level at NE: 52.68 m OD Ground level at SW:		W: 52.41 m OD)		
Context Number	Interpretation Description		Dimensions		
3001	Т	`op soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places	
3002	S	ub-soil	Dark greyish brown clay-silt with frequent sub- angular stones.		Thickness: 0.16m
3003	1	Natural	Mostly gravel and orange brown silty-clay in south western part of the trench.		

Trench: 31 Dimensions		Dimensions	: 23m by 1.8m Depth: 0.43m Trench alligme		ent: NW-SE	
Ground level at NW: 52.74 m OD Ground level at SE: 52			SE: 52.54 m OD			
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions	
3101	01 Top soil Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.25m			
3102	2 Natural Dark greyish brown clay-silt with frequent sub- angular stones.		Thickness: 0.16m			
3103 Natural O		Orange brown gravely silty	clay			

Trench: 32 Dimensions:		Dimensions	s: 26m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m Trench alligned		ent: NE-SW
		Ground lev	el at NE: 52.23 m OD Ground level at S	W: 52.36 m OD	
Context Number	Inter	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3201	Т	`op soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.35m
3202	S	ub soil	Mid brown clayey silt with moderate as chalk fragments, small subangular occasional tiny pieces of burn	stones and	Thickness: 0.13m
3203	Ν	Natural	Orange brown silty-clay with grave	el outcrops	
3204		Pit	Circular with moderate sides and concave base		Length: 0.86m Width: 0.78m Depth: 0.1m
3205	Fi	ll of pit	Moderate compaction, dark grey, clay-silt with frequent charcoal very occasional burnt clay, very occasional small subangular stones		Length: 0.86m Width: 0.78m Depth: 0.1m
3206		Ditch	N-S aligned linear with steep straig shallow aproach wider at east side. Bas concave.		Length: 2m Width: 1.28m Depth: 0.36m
3207	Fill	l of ditch	clayey-silt with frequent tiny angular a	Mid compaction mid brown with dark brown flecking clayey-silt with frequent tiny angular and sub-angular pebbles and occasional small sub-angular stones up to	
3208		Ditch		N-S aligned linear with moderately sloped concave sides stepped at east part and flat base	
3209	Fill	l of ditch	Soft light grey with orange brown flecking clayey- silt.		Length: 1.5m Width: 1.8m Depth: 0.52m
3210	Fill	l of ditch	Mid greyish brown clayey-silt with frequent small subangular stones and very occasional oyster shell		Length: 1.5m Width: 0.9m Depth: 0.3m

Trench: 3	nch: 33 Dimensions: 25.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.52m Trench allign		ent: NE-SW		
		Ground leve	el at NE: 52.54 m OD Ground level at S	W: 53 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3301	Top soilSoft very dark grey clay-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places		Thickness: 0.33m		
3302	S	Sub soil	Firm compaction, dark grey, clay-silt with frequent subngular stones		Thickness: 0.18m
3303	1	Natural	Firm compaction, orange brown, silty-clay with frequent subngular stones		
3304		Pit	Irregular shape in plan with irregular sides and base		Length: 1m Width: 1.3m Depth: 0.28m
3305	F	ill of pit	Compacted, light grey, gravel with about 10% clay silt content.		Length: 1m Width: 1.5m Depth: 0.28m

Trench: 34 Dimensions		Dimensions	: 25m by 1.8m Depth: 0.42m	Trench alligment: NE-SW	
		Ground leve	el at NE: 52.99 m OD Ground level at S	W: 53.05 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3401	Top soil			oft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places	
3402	Sub-soil		Dark greyish brown clay-silt with frequent sub- angular stones.		Thickness: 0.11m
3403	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay with outcro	ps of gravel	
3404	Pit/dit	tch terminus	SE-NW elongated shape in plan with moderate sides and concave base		Length: 0.9m Width: 0.74m Depth: 0.16m
3405		of pit/ditch erminus	Firm compaction, dark greyish brown, clay-silt with frequent small subngular stones		Length: 0.9m Width: 0.74m Depth: 0.16m

Trench: 3	5	Dimensions	Dimensions: 25.2m by 1.8m Depth: 0.55m		ent: NW-SE
		Ground leve	el at NW: 52.73 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 53.36 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3501	Т	Fop soil		Soft very dark grey clayey silt with sub-angular small stones occurring frequently in places	
3502	Sub soll		Soft mid brown silty sand with occasio stones	nal subangular	Thickness: 0.22m
3503	1	Natural	Orange brown silty sand		
3504		Pit	Irregular shape in plan with irregular s	regular shape in plan with irregular sides and base	
3505	F	ill of pit	patches, clay-silty-sand with freque	oft compaction, mid brownish grey with black atches, clay-silty-sand with frequent charcoal, occasional subrounded stones up to 4cm and occasional chalk	

Trench: 3	6	Dimensions	: 24.7m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m Trench allign		ent: NE-SW
Ground level at NE: 52.43 m OD Ground		l at NE: 52.43 m OD Ground level at S	W: 52.58 m OD		
Context Number	Interpretation		Description		Dimensions
3601	1 Top soil		Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.2m
3602	Sub-soil		Dark brown clayey-silt with gravel.		Thickness: 0.28m
3603	1	Natural	Orange brown silty clay with g	gravel	

Trench: 37 Dimensions:		Dimensions	s: 24.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.41m Trench alligned		ent: NW-SE
		Ground lev	el at NW: 52.15 m OD Ground level at S	SE: 52.4 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3701	Т	op soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in		Thickness: 0.22m
3702	S	ub soil	Firm compaction, mid greyish brown c moderate amount of subangular stone and occasional charcoal fle	s, manganese	Thickness: 0.13m
3703	1	Natural	Orange brown silty-clay with outcrops of gravel in SE part of the trench		
3704		Pit	Irregular shape in plan with irregular sides and iiregular base		Length: 1.08m Width: 0.56m Depth: 0.34m
3705	Fi	ill of pit	brown and mid grey, silty-clay with mo of charcoal flecks and pieces up to 3x5	Medium compaction, mixed dark grey, pale grey, mid brown and mid grey, silty-clay with moderate amount of charcoal flecks and pieces up to 3x5cm, occasional subrounded and subangular stones up to 5cm.	
3706		Ditch	NE-SW aligned linear with steep sides with shallow approach (extensive on SE side) and concave base.		Length: 0.7m Width: 2.02m Depth: 0.5m
3707	Fil	l of ditch	Firm compaction, mottled light grey and brown, clay- silt occasional angular stones		Length: 0.7m Width: 0.55m Depth: 0.3m
3708	Fil	l of ditch	Medium compaction, dark brown, clay-silt with one coal piece at the top, moderate amount of angular stones and occasional chalk and charcoal.		Length: 0.7m Width: 2.02m Depth: 0.25m

Trench: 3	Trench: 38Dimensions: 5.5m by 1.8m Depth: 0.7mTrench alligmen		ent: E-W		
		Ground leve	el at E: 52.22 m OD Ground level at W:	52.02 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3801	T	Top soil		Firm compaction, dark brownish grey, clay-silt with frequent small subangular stones.	
3802	S	Sub soil	Firm compaction, dark brown, clay-silt with moderate amount of subangular stones		Thickness: 0.3m
3803	1	Natural	Orange brown gravely silty clay		
3804		Ditch	e 1	N-S aligned linear with steep east side and moderate west side, almost flat base	
3805	Fil	l of ditch	Firm compaction, mid brown, clay-silt		Thickness: 0.12m Length: 1.8m Width: 1.5m Depth: 0.65m
3806	Fil	l of ditch	flecking, clay-silt with occasional sub-	Firm compaction, light grey with dark brown ecking, clay-silt with occasional subangular stones and frequent manganese	

Trench: 3	39	Dimensions	imensions: 20m by 1.8m Depth: 0.5m		ent: ENE-WSW
		Ground leve	el at ENE: 0 m OD Ground level at WSV	W: 0 m OD	
Context Number	Inte	rpretation	Description		Dimensions
3901]	Top soil	Soft very dark grey clayey-silt with sub stones occurring frequently in	0	Thickness: 0.3m
3902	S	Sub-soil	Mid brown clayey-silt with frequent stones	Mid brown clayey-silt with frequent sub-angular stones	
3903	1	Natural	Gravel with mid orange brown silty clay		
3904	Rectangular with near vertical sides and flat base. Only fragment of eastern edge was exposed. Exact		posed. Exact was a shallow shelter backfill	Width: 6.5m Depth: 0.7m	
3905	Fill of WW1 shelter		Very dark grey clayey-silt with frequen stones.	ark grey clayey-silt with frequently subangular stones.	
3906	Fill of	WW1 shelter		ted dark grey top soil / sub soil and gravel ge brown silty-clay Deliberate backfill.	

A catalogue and summary of the pottery, plus catalogues of ceramic building materials, clay pipes, some metalwork and coal, recovered during an archaeological evaluation at Keycol, Sittingbourne, Kent

Site Code: KHB-EV-22

CATALOGUES ONLY!

Analyst: Paul Hart Last updated: 16.03.2022

For: Swale and Thames Archaeology Survey Company

Contents

- 1. Summary
- 2. Period-based review
- 3. Comment
- 4. Bibliography

Appendix (PDF version only)

- 5. Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery assemblage
 - 5.1. Methodology
 - 5.2. Period Codes employed
 - 5.3. Abbreviations used in 5.4
 - 5.4. Catalogue: Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery, with notes
- 6. Catalogues of other finds presented
 - 6.1. Period Codes employed
 - 6.2. Catalogue of brick, tile and CBM
 - 6.3. Catalogue of metalwork
 - 6.4. Catalogue of clay pipes
 - 6.5. Catalogue of coal

Appendix

5. Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery assemblage

5.1. Methodology

The sherds were examined in good light using a hand lens of x10 magnification and were catalogued on a context, total quantity, bulk weight (calculated to the nearest gram), period, ware type, estimate of the number of vessels per ware, condition and date preference basis. They are listed in date order from the earliest to the latest. No information about the contexts or their stratigraphic relationships was known unless stated. In the notes, the pieces are typically plain or less diagnostic body sherds unless stated otherwise.

All dates given are *circa*.

It should also be noted that:

- All form and decorative pieces are noted and described in the catalogue and their presence is highlighted by the inclusion of the word 'DRAW' (which does not mean that such pieces necessarily need to be drawn for archive level reporting or for publication).
- The material has been bagged by period and separated into DRAW-ables (which do not necessarily need to be drawn for archive level or final site reports or publication) and body sherds.

5.2. Period Codes employed

Period	Code Date (circa)		
Early Medieval	EM	1050 - 1200	AD
Medieval	Μ	1200 - 1375	AD
Late Post-Medieval	LPM	1750 - 1900	AD
Modern	MOD	1900+	AD

5.3. Abbreviations used in 5.4

Wear

- F : Fresh
- FF : Fairly fresh
- L : Light
- M : Moderate
- H : Heavy
- C : Chipped (notably so; not light minor damage)
- S : Splintered/Shattered (1 or both original surfaces missing)

Dating

> : To/or later

5.4. Catalogue: Quantification and spot-dating of the pottery, with notes

Context			Total s	hords	Total weight (g)		
Context:	Information on the na	ture of the context if known	Total S	licius	Total Weight (g)		
Start date:	Information on the nature of the context if known.Likely commencement date of the context based on the pottery evidence.						
End date:		e context based on the pottery ev		eviden	ice.		
			nuence.				
Dating:	General implications						
Comments:		, wares and issues of particular not		147			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
	Notes.						
(806) [805]			4	sherds	24 g		
Context:							
Start date:		fore 1780 AD, likely after 1800 a	nd possib	ly after	1850 AD if associated.		
End date:	Unclear.						
Dating:		0 AD date for the discard is poss	ible, but t	the natu	re of the context and their		
Commente	distribution needs to			·			
Comments:		est and fairly fresh, the others smal		<u> </u>			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference		
3	LPM>MOD	Refined white earthenware	2	C>S	1780-1900+ AD		
	,	d 2 small splintered fragments.		-			
1	LPM>MOD	English stoneware	1	FF	1780/1840+ AD		
	Medium sized body sh	erd, pale yellowish-buff fabric and	clear glaze	2.			
(905) [904]			10	sherds	118 g		
Context:	Nothing certainly before 1775 and likely after 1800 AD.						
Start date:							
	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra	er 1900 and potentially by aroun ther this material was in conten posal could have occurred betwe dually. Most of the material sho	nd 1850/1 porary ci en aroun ws post-d	irculatio d 1810 (on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after,		
Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) apporthough most example	er 1900 and potentially by aroun ther this material was in conten posal could have occurred betwe	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-disca	irculation d 1810 (liscard of lic in com lic in com lirly chi lt glaze rd. The f	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider apparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925,		
Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appo	er 1900 and potentially by aroun ther this material was in contemposal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if pos- notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-disca	irculation d 1810 (liscard of lic in com lic in com lirly chi lt glaze rd. The f	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider apparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925,		
Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) apporthough most example comm.).	er 1900 and potentially by aroun ther this material was in contemposal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if pos- notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-disca	irculation d 1810 (liscard of lic in com lic in com lirly chi lt glaze rd. The f	on and deposited together , to 1850 AD or shortly after , chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> .		
Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) apper though most example <i>comm.</i>). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i>	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date betweer Ware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discan 1775 and	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com irly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider apparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925,		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. Period LPM>MOD	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho itext and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively r 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F	be and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) apporthough most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if pose notably worn, but this is a relatively of 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged pose excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware nerd, white slipped gazed interior, p	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed ext	be and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD erior.		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if pose notably worn, but this is a relatively of 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged tes excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware terd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discan 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 vartially gla	irculation d 1810 to liscard of ic in com- airly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of <i>Wear</i> F azed extr M	be and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider apparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Comments: 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. Period LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curve	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date betweer Ware South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ng coarseware body sherd, worn e	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discan 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 vartially gla	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed exto M ively sof	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t.		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) apper though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvit LPM>MOD	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p es excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ing coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discan 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 partially gla dges, relat	irculation d 1810 to liscard of ic in com- airly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of <i>Wear</i> F azed extr M	be and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider apparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1 1 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho itext and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ing coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed.	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 oartially gla dges, relat	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed ext M ively sof FF	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD Small to medium size	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p es excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ing coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat a 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 partially gla dges, relat 1	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed exto M ively sof FF	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers.</i> <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1 1 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred between dually. Most of the material sho itext and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively redware (1775-1850/1925 AD). earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date between <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware nerd, white slipped gazed interior, post ?High Halden redware ng coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed. Refined white earthenware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat a 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 partially gla dges, relat 1	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed exto M ively sof FF	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers.</i> <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1 1 1	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD Small to medium size transfer printing.	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred between dually. Most of the material sho itext and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively redware (1775-1850/1925 AD). earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date between <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware nerd, white slipped gazed interior, post ?High Halden redware ng coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed. Refined white earthenware	nd 1850/1 porary ci een aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discat a 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 partially gla dges, relat 1	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed exto M ively sof FF	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers</i> . <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD small body sherds with blue		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1 1 1 5	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example <i>comm.</i>). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD Small to medium size transfer printing. DRAW: 2 rims. LPM>MOD Medium sized base fra	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if pose notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p earing fairly fresh and undamaged p es excavated in Kent date betweer Ware South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ng coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed. Refined white earthenware d. 2 plate/bowl rims, both blue tr	nd 1850/1 porary ci sen aroun ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discand 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 dges, relat 1 5 ansfer pri	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- hirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed extr M ively sof FF C nted, 2 s	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers.</i> <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD small body sherds with blue 1780/1800-1900+ AD		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Comments: 1 1 1 5 5	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD Small to medium size transfer printing. DRAW: 2 rims. LPM>MOD Medium sized base fra DRAW.	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho itext and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged post excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ing coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed. Refined white earthenware d. 2 plate/bowl rims, both blue tr English white stoneware agment from utilitarian vessel. Form	nd 1850/1 porary ci sen around ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discand 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 1 dges, relat 1 5 ansfer pri 1 n might tig	irculation d 1810 (liscard of iic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Wear F azed extr M ively sof FF C nted, 2 so C chten rar	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers.</i> Date preference 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD small body sherds with blue 1780/1800-1900+ AD age.		
Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: 1 1 1 1 5	Nothing certainly aft The question is whe in which case the dis or accrued more gra the nature of the com Only 1 piece is more r coarseware (possibly Yorkshire/Midlands 1835/1900+ AD) appe though most example comm.). DRAW: 4. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD Medium sized body sh LPM>MOD Micaceous, plain curvi LPM>MOD Small body sherd, san LPM>MOD Small to medium size transfer printing. DRAW: 2 rims. LPM>MOD Medium sized base fra DRAW. LPM>MOD	ther this material was in content posal could have occurred betwee dually. Most of the material sho text and their distribution, if post notably worn, but this is a relatively 1775-1925 AD). Most of the r redware (1775-1850/1925 AD) earing fairly fresh and undamaged p es excavated in Kent date betweer <i>Ware</i> South Yorks./Midlands redware erd, white slipped gazed interior, p ?High Halden redware ng coarseware body sherd, worn e English white stoneware dy fabric, salt glazed. Refined white earthenware d. 2 plate/bowl rims, both blue tr English white stoneware	nd 1850/1 porary ci sen around ws post-d ssible. y soft fabri est are fa and a sa post-discand 1775 and <i>Vessels</i> 1 1 dges, relat 1 5 ansfer pri 1 n might tig	irculation d 1810 (liscard of ic in com- nirly chi lt glaze rd. The f d 1850 of Exact extra M ively sof FF C nted, 2 so C chten rar	on and deposited together, to 1850 AD or shortly after, chipping damage. Consider aparison and likely a Kentish pped, with only the South d white stoneware (1780- ormer could date up to 1925, AD (Macpherson-Grant <i>pers.</i> <i>Date preference</i> 1775-1850/1925 AD erior. 1775-1925 AD t. 1780-1835/1900+ AD small body sherds with blue 1780/1800-1900+ AD		

(1105) [11	1105) [1104]				3 g
Context:					¥
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	efore 1780 AD.			
End date:		significantly worn, a single sma	ll sherd on	ly.	
Dating:	As given.			<u> </u>	
Comments:	0				
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
1	LPM>MOD	Refined white earthenware	1	L	1780-1900+ AD
	Small body sherd, blu				
(1405) [14	04]		1	sherd	7 g
Context:			•		
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	efore 1150 AD.			
End date:		rtainly after 1250 AD, though t	he single sl	nerd is	residual to some degree at
Dating:		e of 1200-1250 AD is preferred a	t present, t	hough n	oting that a slightly earlier
Comments:	The dual-tone firing	is a particular trend on some Can is a trend that could be applied m			
		The reduced shell and greater san			
	trends and that range	e is preferred at present, noting al	so the com	nents al	out a potential continuation
	beyond 1250 AD in (2815). The reduced shell content	is a notable	contras	t with most of the East Kent
	shell tempered fabric 'sandy'.	cs in the site assemblage; ie. all th	iose who's v	ware titl	e does not include the word
Quantity					
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
<i>Quantity</i> 1	EM>M	E.K. shell tempered sandy	1	М	1150/1200-1250 AD
	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t		1 y that the ot bric in gene	M her 'pur eral is ot	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell
1	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces.	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior
1 (2105) [21	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces.	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell
1 (2105) [21 Context:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04]	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given.	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur ral is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur eral is ot rior and sherd Wear	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur ral is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte	M her 'pur ral is ot rior and sherd Wear	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur rial is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207)	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur ral is ot rior and sherd Wear	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profus surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD Small body sherd fro	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur rial is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD Small body sherd from	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate. efore 1780 AD.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur rial is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date: End date:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu- surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD Small body sherd from Small body sherd from	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur rial is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu surfaces. 04] Nothing certainly be Unclear, single sma As given. Period LPM>MOD Small body sherd from	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate. efore 1780 AD.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1	M her 'pur rial is ot rior and sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu- surfaces. 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04]	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa- se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate. efore 1780 AD. mtially residual fragment.	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte y-buff exte 1 Vessels 1 1	M her 'pur- eral is oth rior and sherd Wear C sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu- surfaces. 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04]	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa- se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate. efore 1780 AD. mtially residual fragment. Ware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte 1 Vessels 1 1 Vessels Vessels	M her 'pur eral is oth rior and sherd Wear C sherd Wear	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD
1 (2105) [21 Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 1 (2207) Context: Start date: End date: End date: Dating: Comments:	EM>M Thick-ish walled plain assemblage, though t content is less profu- surfaces. 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04] 04]	E.K. shell tempered sandy n body sherd, more obviously sand he character of the sand and the fa- se, much more moderate. Orange efore 1780 AD. Il sherd only. Ware Refined white earthenware m plate. efore 1780 AD. mtially residual fragment. Ware Refined white earthenware	1 y that the ot bric in gene ey-buff exte y-buff exte 1 Vessels 1 1	M her 'pur- eral is oth rior and sherd Wear C sherd	1150/1200-1250 AD ely' shell tempered in the site nerwise similar, but the shell pale buff and grey interior 3 g Date preference 1780-1900+ AD

(2406) [24	05]		2 9	sherds	42 g
Context:					
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 1780 AD and perhaps after	around 18	800 AD.	
End date:		rd and not significantly chipped,			y only.
Dating:		ut seems less likely to be signific	-		
Comments:	DRAW: 1.		<u> </u>	/	
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
2	LPM>MOD	Refined white earthenware	1	С	1780/1800-1900+ AD
		from a plate, grey transfer print de	eco, slightly	chipped	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(2716) [27	14]		1	sherd	36 g
Context:	14]		1	Sheru	30 g
Start date:	Likely after 1800 AD	<u> </u>			
End date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	sidual to some degree.			
Dating:	Uncertain form and which likely dates af	purpose, possibly utilitarian, bu ter 1760 AD, the piece preferabl	y post 180	0 and p	erhaps relatively 'modern'.
Comments:		though the surfaces are not signific	1 1	0	
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference
1	-	?London stoneware	1	С	1760/1800+ AD
	straight then expandi	alled piece with tight inner curvatu ng outward, form unclear, utilitar een glaze both surfaces, likely after	ian? Hard I	buff fab	ric with very fine sand. Very
				sherds	16.
107401 [07					16 g
· · · ·	14]			Sherus	8
Context:	-	fores 4770 AD or down holder offer			
(2718) [27 Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the	fore 1770 AD and probably after ation on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th	r 1800 AD. Ienware li at this is	kely da not an	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re whe Some chipping but no	ition on the refined white earth	<u>1800 AD.</u> Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated.	kely da not an er the na	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1.	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t	1800 AD. Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r	kely da not an er the na naterial	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period	ntion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t Ware	1800 AD. Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r	kely da not an er the na material Wear	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1.	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro	1800 AD. Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	ntion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware	1800 AD. Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1	kely da not an er the na material <i>Wear</i> L oth plain	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro	1800 AD. Tenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1	kely da not an er the na material <i>Wear</i> L oth plain	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n.
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	ntion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware	1800 AD. a this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L eco.	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801)	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	ntion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware	1800 AD. a this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d	kely da not an er the na material <i>Wear</i> L oth plain	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801)	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	ntion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware	1800 AD. a this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L eco.	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u	1800 AD. a this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L eco.	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD.	1800 AD. a this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L eco.	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aff Though a single shere form as seen in some	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD.	• 1800 AD. eenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, bo 1 nderglaze d 1 y fresh. Sin his one is s	kely da not an er the na naterial <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L ecco. sherd nilar fal slightly	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD 88 g Dric, firing and right-angled
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft Though a single sher form as seen in some after around 1175 A	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD. ter 1225 AD. d only, this is large and relatively e examples from (2811), though t	enware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d 1 y fresh. Sin his one is s ely related	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L leco. sherd nilar fal slightly	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD 88 g Dric, firing and right-angled more squared-off, so a date
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re whee Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aff Though a single sher form as seen in some after around 1175 A Large rim with finger	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD. ter 1225 AD. d only, this is large and relatively examples from (2811), though to D is preferred at present. All like tip impressions along a similar rais <i>Ware</i>	enware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r <i>Vessels</i> 2 m ?plate, b 1 nderglaze d 1 y fresh. Sin his one is s ely related	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L leco. sherd nilar fal slightly	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD 88 g Dric, firing and right-angled more squared-off, so a date
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. <i>Period</i> LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aff Though a single sher form as seen in some after around 1175 A Large rim with fingert DRAW: 1	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD. ter 1225 AD. d only, this is large and relatively e examples from (2811), though to D is preferred at present. All like	* 1800 AD. eenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r Vessels 2 m ?plate, b nderglaze d 1 nderglaze d 1 sely related ed ridge as	kely da not an er the na naterial Wear L oth plain L eco. sherd sherd	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD 88 g 0 ric, firing and right-angled more squared-off, so a date n rim in (2811). Date preference
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Dating: Comments: Quantity 2 1 (2801) Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Unclear. The sponged decora plain nature of the something even mor distribution, re when Some chipping but no DRAW: 1. Period LPM>MOD 1 thin-walled sherd fr DRAW: 1. LPM>MOD 1 thickish-walled flat Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly after Though a single shere form as seen in some after around 1175 A Large rim with finger DRAW: 1 Period EM>M Large rim, right-angle thin overhang on inter	tion on the refined white earth porcelain may also suggest th e mass produced and possibly la ther these 2 wares could be asso significant post-discard damage, t <i>Ware</i> English porcelain om cup, 1 thicker-walled sherd fro Refined white earthenware sherd, from ?plate, blue sponged u fore 1175 AD. ter 1225 AD. d only, this is large and relatively examples from (2811), though to D is preferred at present. All like tip impressions along a similar rais <i>Ware</i>	• 1800 AD. eenware li at this is te. Conside ciated. hough the r Vessels 2 m ?plate, bo 1 nderglaze d 1 nderglaze d 1 sely related ed ridge as Vessels 1 ickened outen chipped	kely da not an er the na material <u>Wear</u> L oth plain L leco. sherd hilar fal Slightly seen on <u>Wear</u> FF ater edg	tes after 1800 AD and the early product, but rather ature of the context and the could date widely. Date preference 1770+ AD n. 1800-1900+ AD 88 g Dric, firing and right-angled more squared-off, so a date n rim in (2811). Date preference 1175-1225 AD e, with reasonable short but oken in places, rim top shows

(2805) [280)4]		5	sherds	17 g			
	Context:							
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 1150 AD and likely after 11	75 AD					
End date:	Unclear. Nothing certainly after 1225 AD, but potentially residual to some degree, though need							
Linu uute.	not be significantly so perhaps and this is a single fabric group.							
Dating:					hards in other contexts ag			
Duting.	Likely related to the same period of activity represented by similar sherds in other contexts, e (2811).							
Comments:	Small worn fragments							
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
Quantity 2	EM>M	East Kent shell tempered	1/2	L>M	1150/1175-1225 AD			
L		ds, dull orange surfaces.	1/2		1130/11/3-1223 AD			
	Siliali plalli bouy silett	us, uuli oralige surfaces.						
(2007) [20(141		1	sherd	2 a			
(2807) [280	10]		1	sneru	3 g			
Context:	Nathing containing he	6 1150 d						
Start date:		fore 1150 and possibly after 117		<u> </u>				
End date:		tainly after 1250 AD and sherd is	not signi	icantly	worn, but a single example			
	only.			<u> </u>				
Dating:		perhaps more likely after 1150/						
		e other 2800 numbered contexts	on this sit	e, all of	which are solely producing			
-	shell tempered shere	ds.						
Comments:								
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
1	EM>M	East Kent shell tempered	1	L	1150/1175-1225/1250 AD			
	Small plain body shere	d, reduced dark and lighter brown,	thinnish-v	valled.				
	A V							
(2811) [280			40 :	sherds	197 g			
(2811) [280 Context:	08]		40 :	sherds	197 g			
		fore 1150 and perhaps more like						
Context:								
Context: Start date:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft		ely after 1					
Context: Start date: End date:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor	er 1225 AD.	ely after 1 ntext.	175 AD.				
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f	175 AD . Tragment	tation, all are relatively fresh			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are	175 AD ragment present	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date-			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to	175 AD . Tragment present	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date-			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to	175 AD . Tragment present	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date-			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to	175 AD . Tragment present	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date-			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments:	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3.	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ned by 125	175 AD . Tragment present o around 0 AD.	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- l 1200 AD, go out of fashion Date preference			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. Period EM>M	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ned by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5	175 AD. Tragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- l 1200 AD, go out of fashion Date preference 1150/1175-1225 AD			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ned by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5	175 AD . Tragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- l 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proo DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con a fragile and soft, with some splinted c and likely the same date. Severa welly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to hed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le	iragment present o arounc 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con a fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa welly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered furm sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments d grains (likely inherent) and mic	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces	175 AD. Fragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v s mostly	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular san grey-brown and grey-	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con a fragile and soft, with some splinted c and likely the same date. Severa welly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragmo	175 AD. Fragment present o around 0 AD. Wear F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- l 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right-			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. Period EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight inte	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinte c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments d grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to hed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragme	175 AD. Fragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) w s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- l 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- pr overhang, rim top shows			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered tum sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickene	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ned by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragme ed rounded mpressed	175 AD. Fragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- pr overhang, rim top shows itentional?) on rib, probably			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds ard and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con- e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com- duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered tum sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments d grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickene rib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragme ed roundee mpressed l convex by	175 AD. Tragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in y break;	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows itentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con- e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com- duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered tum sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener ib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i ll orange surfaces, medium-walled	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ded by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragme d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely	175 AD. ragment present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1 d exterior hole (in y break; r tourne	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows ttentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form with exterior surface	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con- e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com- duction in East Kent is largely finish <i>Ware</i> East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener ib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i ill orange surfaces, medium-walled a, convex rim top, dull orange surfaces	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to hed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragments d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely aller simil	175 AD. ragment present present o around 0 AD. <u>Wear</u> F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1 d exterior hole (in y break; tourned ar 'T' sh	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows ttentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized hape rim with exterior edge			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular san grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form with exterior surface marked by spaced sm	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Severa elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered um sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener ib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i ill orange surfaces, medium-walled a, convex rim top, dull orange surfaces, sm	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragmo d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely aller simil small frag	175 AD. Fragment present o around 0 AD. Wear F s of, som ached) v s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in y break; r tournet ar 'T' sh ment of	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows tentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized hape rim with exterior edge rim with likely right-angled			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. Period EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight inte central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form with exterior surface marked by spaced sm elongated rounded ext	er 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Several elly fabrics are perhaps most com duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered fum sized sherds, rest small sized or use fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener tib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i and single surfaces, medium-walled the convex rim top, dull orange surfaces, sm anall elongated oval impressions; 1	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragments d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely aller simil small frag t, brighter o	175 AD. ragment present o around 0 AD. Wear F s of, som ached) w s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in y break; r tournet ar 'T' sh ment of orange s	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows tentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized nape rim with exterior edge rim with likely right-angled urface to rim top, grey below;			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds are and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. Period EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight inte central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form with exterior surface marked by spaced sm elongated rounded ext	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con- e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Several elly fabrics are perhaps most com- duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered fum sized sherds, rest small sized or ise fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic- black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener ib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i and single surfaces, medium-walled and convex rim top, dull orange surfaces, sm and elongated oval impressions; 1 terior edge, interior surface missing	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragments d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely aller simil small frag t, brighter o	175 AD. ragment present o around 0 AD. Wear F s of, som ached) w s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in y break; r tournet ar 'T' sh ment of orange s	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> <u>1150/1175-1225 AD</u> e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows tentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized nape rim with exterior edge rim with likely right-angled urface to rim top, grey below;			
Context: Start date: End date: Dating: Comments: Quantity	Nothing certainly be Nothing certainly aft All likely contempor Though the sherds ard and of the same fabri range. The 'purely' sh around 1225 and proc DRAW: 6/3. <i>Period</i> EM>M Couple large and medi sherds, no deco. Profu medium irregular sam grey-brown and grey- angle, with slight into central raised ridge/r tournette-finished, du similar 'T' shape form with exterior surface marked by spaced sm elongated rounded ext 1 small shattered frag base.	ter 1225 AD. ary with each other and their con- e fragile and soft, with some splinter c and likely the same date. Several elly fabrics are perhaps most com- duction in East Kent is largely finish Ware East Kent shell tempered fum sized sherds, rest small sized or ise fine to medium shell fragments id grains (likely inherent) and mic- black, fragile, all likely soft. 4 rims erior overhang and wide thickener ib (lid-seat?) and single shallow i and single surfaces, medium-walled and convex rim top, dull orange surfaces, sm and elongated oval impressions; 1 terior edge, interior surface missing	ely after 1 ntext. ering and f l rims are mon up to ed by 125 <i>Vessels</i> 4/5 fragments (mostly le a, surfaces + 1 fragments d rounded mpressed l convex by aces, likely aller simil small frag t, brighter o	175 AD. ragment present o around 0 AD. Wear F s of, som ached) w s mostly ent of: 1 d exterio hole (in y break; r tournet ar 'T' sh ment of orange s	tation, all are relatively fresh and these suggest the date- d 1200 AD, go out of fashion <u>Date preference</u> 1150/1175-1225 AD e splintered. Most plain body with some dispersed small to dull orange, some brown to large rim, fairly sharp right- or overhang, rim top shows tentional?) on rib, probably 1 small thinner finer rim of tte-finished; 1 medium sized hape rim with exterior edge rim with likely right-angled urface to rim top, grey below;			

(2815) [28]	14] near ditch [2806]		1	sherd	2 g			
Context:								
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 1175 AD.						
End date:		rtainly after 1250 AD, but poten	tially exp	osed on	surface before burial and			
2.114 014007		could be residual. Consider the nature of the context.						
Dating:	Thin-walled and wi	th a naturally fine sandy fabric	which is	differe	nt to all of the other shell			
0		he site assemblage seen so far, th						
		ger in East Kent than the not p						
		ng a fine sandy potting clay and						
		ess likely after 1250 AD. Though						
	Kent and this site is a	pproaching the border of the gen	eral divis	ion in tł	nis fabric trend, the notable			
	lack of any purely sa	ndy ware fabrics in the site asser	mblage su	ggests a	later date is less likely.			
Comments:								
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
1	EM>M	E.K. shell tempered fine sandy	1	М	1175/1200-1250 AD			
	Small plain body sher	d, thin-walled, orange surfaces with	n very wor	n exterio	or, fairly profuse fine sand.			
(2906) [29	04]		4	sherds	5 g			
Context:								
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	fore 1175 AD.						
End date:	Nothing certainly aft	ter 1225 AD.						
Dating:	Less likely after 122	5 AD perhaps.						
Comments:	Fabric and firing akin	to other EM>M in the site assemble	age, eg. (2	801) and	d (2811), but these examples			
	are much thinner and	d thus possibly wheel-thrown, so	likely afte	r around	d 1175 AD. Appears slightly			
	sandier than some she	erds perhaps, but inclusions otherw	vise identio	cal in cha	aracter.			
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
4	EM>M	East Kent shell tempered	1	FF	1175-1225/1250 AD			
	Small plain very thin-	walled body sherds, dull orange sur	faces.					
[3208] [321	10] as written		1	sherd	7 g			
Context:								
Start date:	Nothing certainly be	efore 1050 AD, but consider who	ether this	might	relate to the other *EM>M			
	sherds in the site as			U				
End date:	Unclear. Nothing cer	tainly after 1225 AD, but could b	e residual	l to som	e degree.			
Dating:	Reduced sherd could	d date widely, but *perhaps most	: likely to	be relat	ed to the well represented			
-	specific phase of EM	>M activity seen in other context	s on site, i	e. 1150	/1175-1225/1250 AD.			
Comments:								
Quantity	Period	Ware	Vessels	Wear	Date preference			
1	EM>M	East Kent shell tempered	1	L>M	1050-1225 AD			
	Small reduced plain b	ody sherd, not significantly worn.						
	^	· · · · · · · · ·						
Totals			77 :	sherds	569 g			

6. Catalogues of other finds presented

6.1. Period Codes employed

Period	Code Date (circa)		
Medieval	MED	1050 - 1525	AD
Post-Medieval	PM	1525 - 1750	AD

Abbreviations

> : To/or later.

6.2. Catalogue of brick, tile and CBM

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
		(9)		
(905)	2	15	Small worn rounded fragments of CBM. 1 sandy gritty shelly orangey ?brick. 1 orangey slightly sandy 'redware' type fabric ?tile.	PM>
(2006)	1	14	Small fragment tile, orangey, sandy fabric.	MED>/?PM>
(2207)	1	46	Medium sized fragment tile, orangey 'redware' type fabric, 1 rough face sanded.	PM>
(2406)	5	1	Very small rounded fragments of soft orangey fine sandy 'daub'. Discarded.	-
(2713)	1	12	Small fragment tile, orangey, sandy fabric.	MED>/?MED
(2716)	1	806	Large fragment red brick, 110 mm W x 45 mm D, length unknown, slightly sandy 'redware' type fabric with sanded surfaces.	PM>
(2817)	1	11	Small fragment tile, orangey, sandy 'redware' type fabric.	?PM>
(3206)	1	5	Small fragment tile, orangey 'redware' type fabric, worn, residual.	PM>
Totals	13	910		

6.3. Catalogue of metalwork

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
(1011)	1	2	Small copper alloy disc, very worn, only obvious major detail is 'D G R' (Dei Gratia Rex/Regina) and nearby '9' around edge. Coin/token. Needn't be very old, perhaps.	-
(2406)	2	2	Small flat sheet and associated fragment, ?copper.	-
Totals	3	4		

6.4. Catalogue of clay pipes

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
(905)	1	2	Short fragment of stem.	PM>
Totals	1	2		

6.5. Catalogue of coal

Context	Quantity	Weight (g)	Notes	Date
(905)	3	24	Fragments. Discarded.	-
Totals	3	24		

Material	Context	Weight (g)	Quantity	Description
Oyster Shell	2207 2406	18	$\frac{1}{2}$	Abraded
	2406 2811	134 26	2	Abraded fragments Abraded
	3210	126	2	Abraded
	5210	120	2	Abraucu
Glass	806	<1	2	Small fragments of thin flat very clear glass. C.19/20
	905	3	2	Small flat very clear thin glass C.19/20
	1605	3	2	2 curved fragments of opaque laminating fragments. Bottle glass C.18/19
	2718	235	3	1 bottle base with deep indent. Clear green glass 4mm thick C.19/20
				1 bottle rim segment, 18mm diameter. Clear green glass.
				Bottle rim segment, 25mm. Clear glass
Metal (Fe)	605	260	3	Sections of twisted wire hawser
. ,	806	155	5	2 small fragments
				1 L.40mm amorphous fragment
				1 sheet metal with right angle bend. ?Ferrule
				Rectangular sheet metal flat tube or socket with
				linear edge. ?Blade for wooden tool
				C.20
	905	853	50	36 pieces of twisted ?steel wire rope (hawser)
				fragments
				2 linear concretions, 110mm and 70mm long
				12 amorphous Iron lumps 25-50mm C.20
	1105	135	8	5 fragments twisted wire hawser
	1105	155	0	3 amorphous iron fragments
				C.20
	2406	10	3	Amorphous fragments
	2718	90	1	Heavy buckle/horse harness fastening
	2815	67	1	Iron hitch loop (carabiner type object) C.20
	3208/3210	14	1	Amorphous fragment.
	3802	805	1	Heavy horseshoe L.150mm x w.130mm C.20
	2002		-	

KHB-EX-22 Miscellaneous Finds Catalogue

Notes:

Glass: Two opaque laminating fragments of bottle glass could be C.18. Fragments of bottles in clear stable modern glass suggest modern C.19/20 date. Small amounts of clear thin modern glass suggest lantern or instrument screen glass not window glass.

Iron: Large amounts of unidentifiable amorphous Fe metal debris. Large quantity of twisted wire hawser fragments suggests heavy lifting equipment. Combined with hitch loop, harness buckle and horseshoe suggest lifting/hauling with horse power. Possible tool blade reinforcement with sheet steel and possible furrule fragment may be associated.

?19th – early 20th Century industrial context.



Figure 1: Site Location, scale 1:10000







Scale:1: 1250



Figure 2: Site in relation to OS map



Figure 3: Site in relation to proposed development



Figure 4: Site plan



Figure 5: Trench 1 plan and sections





Figure 6: Trench 2 - section



Figure 7: Trench 3 plan and sections



Figure 8: Trench 6 plan and sections



Figure 9: Trench 8 plans and section


Figure 10: Trench 9 plans and section

Extrapolated	49.52m
50.16m	
49.10m	
Dwg# 5.2 SW + (1101)	SCALE 1:100@A3 METRES



Figure 11: Trench 11 plans and section





Figure 12: Trench 13 plan and section



Figure 13: Trench 14 plan and section



Figure 14: Trench 15 plans



Figure 15: Trench 15 sections



Figure 16: Trench 16 plans and section



Figure 17: Trench 20 plan and section





Figure 18: Trench 21 plan and section



Figure 19: Trench 22 plan and sections



Figure 20: Trench 24 plan and sections





Figure 21: Trench 27 plan and sections





Figure 23: Trench 28 plan and sections



Figure 24: Trench 29 plan and sections



Figure 25: Trench 31 plan



Figure 26: Trench 32 plan and sections



Figure 27: Trench 34 and trench 39 plan and sections



Figure 28: Trench 37 and trench 38 plan and sections

PLATES



Plate 1: Showing the site and Drove way (Holloway) [106]. Looking NE with two one-metre scales.



Plate 2: Trench 2. Showing Natural valley filled by modern deposits, looking west with two one-metre scales.



Plate 3: Trench 3. Showing Pits [305] and [307]. Looking west with one- and point four metre scales.



Plate 4: Trench 4 representative section, looking SW, 1m horizontal and 0.5m vertical scales.



Plate 5: Trench 5 looking SE with one-metre scale.



Plate 6: Trench 6. Showing section through WW1 trench [606]. Looking east with one-metre scale.



Plate 7: Trench 7, looking south with one-metre scale.



Plate 8: Trench 8. WW1 trench [805] with stake-holes [807], [809], looking west with one-metre scale.



Plate 9: Trench 9. Showing section of WW1 trench [904], looking NNE with one-metre scale.



Plate 10: Trench 10, looking NNW with one-metre scale.



Plate 11: Trench 11. WW1 trench [1103] with multiple steak-holes in plan, 1m scale.



Plate 12: Unexcavated WW1 trench [1204], looking east, 1m and 0.4m scales. Trench 12



Plate 13: Trench 13. Showing Pit [1305], looking north with half-metre scale.



Plate 14: Trench 14. Showing Pit [1404], looking north with half-metre scale.



Plate 15: Traversed WW1 trench exposed in evaluation trench 15.



Plate 16: WW1 trench [1604], looking south-west with two one-metre scales.



Plate 17: Trench 17, looking NE with one-metre scale.



Plate 18: Trench 18 representative section, looking SW, 1m horizontal and 0.5m vertical scales.



Plate 19: Trench 19, looking east with one-metre scale.



Plate 20: Trench 20. Showing Gully [2005], looking NNW with half-metre scale.



Plate 21: Trench 21. Ditch [2104], looking NW with one- and half-metre scales.



Plate 22: Trench 22. Showing Ditch [2206], looking east with one and half-metre scales.



Plate 23: Section through colluvium at SE end of trench 23. Looking NE with two one-metre scale.



Plate 24: Linear feature [2406], looking SW with two-metre scale.



Plate 25: Trench 25, looking NNE with one-metre scale.



Plate 26: Trench 26 representative section, looking NW with one-metre scale.



Plate 27: Trench 27. Showing section of [2707], [2711] and [1714], looking east with two one-metre scales.



Plate 28: Aerial photo of trench 28.



Plate 29: Trench 29. Showing Gully [2904], looking west with one-metre scale.



Plate 30: Trench 30, looking southwest.



Plate 31: Unexcavated ditch at SE end of trench 31. Looking north with one-metre scale.



Plate 32: Trench 32. Showing Pit [3204], looking NE with one-metre scale.



Plate 33: Natural gravel pocket [3306] investigated in trench 33, looking SE with one-metre scale.



Plate 34: Trench 34. Showing ditch terminus or pit [3404], looking SE with one-metre scale.



Plate 35: Trench 35, looking northwest.



Plate 36: Natural feature investigated in Trench 36. Looking SW with one-metre scale.



Plate 37: Trench 37. Showing Ditch [3706], looking NE with one and a half-metre scale.



Plate 38: Trench 38. Ditch [3804], looking north with two one-metre scales.



Plate 39: Trench 39. Section of WW1 shelter [3904], looking north with two one-metre scales.



Plate 40: Aerial photo of trench 39.